arXiv:1607.02333v1 [math.OA] 8 Jul 2016

AN EQUIVARIANT THEORY FOR THE BIVARIANT CUNTZ SEMIGROUP

GABRIELE N. TORNETTA

Abstract. We provide an equivariant extension of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup introduced in previous work for the case of compact group actions over C*-algebras. Its functoriality properties are explored and some well-known classification results are retrieved. Connections with crossed products are investigated, and a concrete presentation of equivariant Cuntz homology is provided. The theory that is here developed can be used to define the equivariant Cuntz semigroup. We show that the object thus obtained coincides with the recently proposed one by Gardella and Santiago, and we complement their work by providing an open projection picture of it.

1. Introduction

During the last couple of decades, the Cuntz semigroup, an invariant for C*-algebras originally proposed by Cuntz [6] in the late seventies of the previous century, has gained a prominent rôle in the Classification Programme of C*-algebras initiated by George Elliott. Important contributions came from the results of Rørdam [17] and Toms [18], which brought to light the fact that there are non-isomorphic C*-algebras with the same Elliott invariant and that can be told apart by other invariants, like the real rank and their Cuntz semigroup data.

The investigation into the theory of completely positive maps with the order zero property (from now on, c.p. order zero maps for short) undertaken by Winter and Zacharias [21], building on top of previous work on orthogonality preserving maps by Wolff [22], has led to the discovery of deep connections between this special class of maps between C*-algebras and the Cuntz semigroup. In particular, they have shown that every such map induces a semigroup homomorphism between the associated Cuntz semigroups. This has opened the doors for a bivariant extension of the theory of the Cuntz semigroup, that has been established in [4]. The main idea behind the just cited work is to provide a new framework which is, in spirit, similar to Kasparov's bivariant K-theory, i.e. KK-theory, but that it is reminiscent of the idea of Cuntz comparison of positive structures (in this case c.p.c. order zero maps). The classification result for the class of unital and stably finite C*-algebras, obtained by the author in his Ph.D. work, and contributed to [4], can be regarded as an analogue of the Kirchberg-Phillips classification of purely infinite C*-algebras by KK-theory. Thus, the bivariant Cuntz semigroup complements the classification of purely infinite C*-algebras in the sense that it gives a suitable Cuntz-analogue of the KK-theoretic Kirchberg-Phillips classification result for stably finite C*-algebras.

Date: July 11, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46L10, 46L35; Secondary 06F05, 19K14, 46L30, 46L80.

Supported by: EPSRC Grant EP/I019227/2.

The main subject of the present paper, which also stems from part of the Ph.D. work of the author, is the introduction of an equivariant extension of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup, with the final aim to provide a novel tool for the problem of classification of C*-dynamical systems. The theory proposed in this paper is specifically designed for *compact* group actions on C*-algebras. With such a tool at one's disposal, the definition of an equivariant extension of the ordinary Cuntz semigroup appears as a natural consequence, since it has been shown in [4] that the ordinary Cuntz semigroup can be recovered from the bivariant theory there defined. A notion of equivariant Cuntz semigroup, however, has recently appeared in the work of Gardella and Santiago [9], where it is also used to provide a classification result of certain actions on certain C*-algebras. Indeed, we shall see that the equivariant theory developed in this paper overlaps with the new definitions that have appeared in [9], and that the classification result just mentioned can also be *recovered* within the framework of the present work.

Outline. The present paper is organised as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definition of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup as presented in [4] together with the main classification result for unital and stably finite C*-algebras, and also set the notation that will be used throughout. We also provide the basics of equivariant K-theory and KK-theory for the reader's convenience, and references to more self-contained sources are also provided.

In Section 3 we introduce the equivariant theory of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup and we show that most of the properties of the ordinary bivariant Cuntz semigroup of [4] carry over to the equivariant setting. Here we restrict our attention to *separable* C*-dynamical systems, i.e. those for which the underlying C*-algebra is separable and the group acting on it is second countable. We also investigate the relations with crossed products in order to strengthen the analogy with equivariant KK-theory. In particular, we show that a Green-Julg-type theorem holds for the equivariant theory of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup developed in this paper (Theorem 3.27). This section is concluded with an equivariant extension of the *Cuntz homology* for compact Hausdorff spaces introduced in [4].

Section 4 is dedicated to the *equivariant Cuntz semigroup*. This new object emerges as the special case $\mathsf{Cu}^G(\mathbb{C}, A)$, in complete analogy with the way one can recover the ordinary Cuntz semigroup from the bivariant theory defined in [4]. We show that the object thus defined coincides with that introduced in [9], and we complement the latter by providing an equivariant extension of the open projection picture of the Cuntz semigroup investigated in [14].

In Section 5 we show how to use the equivariant bivariant Cuntz semigroup for the problem of classification of actions. This is done by generalising the notion of strict equivalence of [4] to the equivariant setting and extending the core result of the paper to the equivariant version given in Theorem 5.7. In particular, we show how to use the theory developed in this paper to retrieve the classification result of Handelman and Rossmann [10] on locally representable actions by compact groups on AF algebras (Corollary 5.11), and the more recent result of Gardella and Santiago of locally representable finite Abelian group actions on inductive limits of one-dimensional non-commutative CW complexes (Corollary 5.9).

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank his supervisor, Joachim Zacharias, for proposing the investigation of an equivariant theory for the bivariant Cuntz

semigroup, and Eusebio Gardella for many fruitful conversations about the equivariant Cuntz semigroup he has developed together with Luis Santiago, as well as many insightful suggestions and comments on an early draft of this paper. We acknowledge the support of EPSRC, grant EP/I019227/2.

2. Preliminaries

We use this section to collect some preliminary notions and results, and to set most of the notation employed in this paper. In what follows, we shall make use of capital letters $A, B, C, \dots$ to denote C*-algebras, and the notation A_+ to denote the cone of the positive elements of A. The multiplier algebra of A is denoted by $\mathcal{M}(A)$, while the letter K is used to denote the C*-algebra of compact operators on an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we shall denote by M_k the complex $k \times k$ matrix algebra, and by $M_k(A)$ the A-valued $k \times k$ matrix algebra. With this notation we then have $M_k = M_k(\mathbb{C})$.

The Greek letters $\pi, \omega, \dots$ are used to denote $*$-homomorphisms between C*-algebras, while ϕ, ψ are reserved for c.p.c. order zero maps, whose definition is recalled in this section for the reader's convenience.

The letters E, F are reserved for Hilbert modules, while V, W will usually denote Hilbert spaces. Unless otherwise specified, every Hilbert module is a *right* Hilbert module, and every Hilbert A-B bimodule is a right Hilbert B module with a left A-action. The set of all bounded and adjointable operators on a Hilbert module E will be denoted by $B(E)$. We refer the reader to [13] and [12] for an overview of Hilbert (bi)modules.

In describing equivariant theories, we shall reserve the capital letter G for denoting a topological group, usually assumed to be compact and second countable, unless otherwise specified.

2.1. The Bivariant Cuntz Semigroup.

We recall the main definitions and results of [4], where a bivariant extension of the ordinary theory of the Cuntz semigroup has been proposed. The key ingredient is a notion of comparison between completely positive maps with the order zero property. Such maps were originally introduced in [20], and subsequently thoroughly investigated in [21].

Definition 2.1. Let A and B be C*-algebras. A completely positive map $\phi : A \to B$ has the order zero property if $\phi(a)\phi(b) = 0$ whenever $ab = 0$, with $a, b \in A_+$.

The structure of completely positive maps with the order zero property has been established in [21], where the authors have built on top of previous work by Wolff [22] on orthogonality preserving maps.

Theorem 2.2 (Winter-Zacharias)**.** *Let A and B be C*-algebras and let $\phi : A \to B$ be a completely positive map with the order zero property. Denote by C_ϕ the C*-algebra generated by the image of ϕ, that is $C_\phi := C^*(\phi(A))$. There are a positive element $h_\phi \in \mathcal{M}(C_\phi)_+ \cap C_\phi'$ and a non-degenerate $*$-homomorphism $\pi_\phi : A \to \mathcal{M}(C_\phi) \cap \{h_\phi\}'$ such that $\|\phi\| = \|h_\phi\|$ and $\phi(a) = h_\phi \pi_\phi(a)$ for any $a \in A$.*

Definition 2.3. Let A and B be C*-algebras, and let $\phi, \psi : A \to B$ be c.p.c. order zero maps. We say that ϕ is (Cuntz-)subequivalent to ψ, in symbols $\phi \precsim \psi$, if there exists a sequence $\{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset B$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|b_n \psi(a) b_n^* - \phi(a)\| \to 0,$$

for all $a \in A$.

Two c.p.c. order zero maps $\phi, \psi : A \to B$ are said to be (Cuntz-)equivalent, in symbols $\phi \sim \psi$, if both $\phi \precsim \psi$ and $\psi \precsim \phi$ hold.

For any C*-algebra A, we denote by Δ_A, or simply by Δ when no confusion arises, the *diagonal map* on A with values in $A \oplus A$, that is

$$\Delta(a) := (a, a)$$

for any $a \in A$. Hence, for the direct sum of two c.p.c. order zero maps $\phi, \psi : A \to B$ we define the binary operation $\hat{\oplus}$ as

$$\phi \,\hat{\oplus}\, \psi := (\phi \oplus \psi) \circ \Delta.$$

This yields a c.p.c. order zero map from A to $B \oplus B \subset M_2(B)$, as one can easily verify from the above definition.

Definition 2.4. Let A and B be C*-algebras. The bivariant Cuntz semigroup $\mathsf{Cu}(A, B)$ is the set of Cuntz-equivalence classes of c.p.c. order zero maps from $A \otimes K$ to $B \otimes K$, equipped with the binary operation $+ : \mathsf{Cu}(A, B) \times \mathsf{Cu}(A, B) \to \mathsf{Cu}(A, B)$ given by

$$[\phi] + [\psi] := [\phi \,\hat{\oplus}\, \psi].$$

It is shown in [4] that the above definition yields a monoid, which can also be equipped with a partial order relation $\leq$ to become a partially ordered Abelian monoid.

The major target behind the idea of a bivariant theory for the Cuntz semigroup developed in [4] was that of providing a new tool for the classification problem for C*-algebras. In this respect, the theory there developed has been proven to be successful, since it provides a tool that is capable of classifying all unital and stably finite C*-algebras in a way that formally resembles the Kirchberg-Phillips classification result for the purely infinite case by means of bivariant K-theory, i.e. KK-theory. The fundamental notion is that of strict invertibility, which captures the *right* scale condition that allows lifting a class from $\mathsf{Cu}(A, B)$ to a $*$-isomorphism between A and B.

Definition 2.5. Let A and B be C*-algebras. An element $\Phi \in \mathsf{Cu}(A, B)$ is said to be strictly invertible if there exist c.p.c. order zero maps $\phi : A \to B$ and $\psi : B \to A$ such that $\Phi = [\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_K]$, $\psi \circ \phi \sim \mathrm{id}_A$ and $\phi \circ \psi \sim \mathrm{id}_B$.

It is clear that every $*$-isomorphism $\pi : A \to B$ between two C*-algebras induces a strictly invertible element in $\mathsf{Cu}(A, B)$, which is given by the class of the map $\pi \otimes \mathrm{id}_K$. With the above definition, the main classification result of [4] can be stated in the following terms.

Theorem 2.6. *Let A and B be unital and stably finite C*-algebras. There is a strictly invertible element in $\mathsf{Cu}(A, B)$ if and only if A and B are $*$-isomorphic.*

An analogue of Kasparov's intersection product can be defined for the bivariant Cuntz semigroup as well, as discussed in [4]. There it is defined simply as *composition* of c.p.c. order zero map. Specifically, for $[\phi] \in \mathsf{Cu}(A, B)$ and $[\psi] \in \mathsf{Cu}(B, C)$, one defines the product

$$[\phi] \cdot [\psi]$$

to be the element of $\mathsf{Cu}(A, B)$ given by $[\psi \circ \phi]$. This way, for every C*-algebra A, the semigroup $\mathsf{Cu}(A, A)$ comes equipped with a natural structure of a semiring,

with unit given by $\iota_A := [\mathrm{id}_{A\otimes K}]$. One can then define *invertible elements* as those classes $\Phi \in \mathsf{Cu}(A,B)$ for which there is $\Psi \in \mathsf{Cu}(B,A)$ such that $\Phi\cdot\Psi = \iota_A$ and $\Psi\cdot\Phi = \iota_B$. By defining the *scale* of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup as

$$\Sigma(\mathsf{Cu}(A,B)) := \{[\phi\otimes \mathrm{id}_K] \in \mathsf{Cu}(A,B) \mid \phi : A \to B \text{ is c.p.c. order zero}\}$$

one can easily verify that the strictly invertible elements of $\mathsf{Cu}(A,B)$ are precisely the invertible elements in the scale that have inverse in $\Sigma(\mathsf{Cu}(B,A))$. Furthermore, if A and B are unital C*-algebras, and $\Phi \in \mathsf{Cu}(A,B)$ is strictly invertible, then

$$\Phi\cdot[1_A] = [1_B],$$

where $[1_A]$ and $[1_B]$ denote the classes of the units of A and B respectively in $\mathsf{Cu}(A) \cong \mathsf{Cu}(\mathbb{C},A)$ and $\mathsf{Cu}(B) \cong \mathsf{Cu}(\mathbb{C},B)$.

2.2. **Equivariant K-theory.** In this section we give a brief account of equivariant K-theory, in a form that is suited to a bivariant extension according to the definition of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup given above. The main reference for this section is [3, §V.11], which in turn is largely based on [16]. Firstly we introduce some standard terminology.

Definition 2.7 (G-algebra)**.** A G-algebra is a triple (A,G,α) consisting of a C*-algebra A, a topological group G and a continuous action $\alpha : G \to \mathrm{Aut}(A)$ of G on A, i.e. a point-norm continuous group homomorphism.

G-algebras are also known in the literature as *C^*-dynamical systems* or *C^*-covariant systems*. Throughout this paper we let G denote a *compact* topological group, unless otherwise stated. Hence, all the G-algebras we consider are C*-algebras with a compact group action. Furthermore, we shall assume that any G-algebra is separable, i.e. the underlying algebra admits a dense countable $*$-subalgebra, and the group is second countable. When the action and the group are clear from the context, or their specification is not necessary, we denote a G-algebra (A,G,α) simply by referring to the underlying C*-algebra A. Furthermore, we shall assume that all the G-algebras of this section are unital. A homomorphism, or an *equivariant* $*$-homomorphism, between two G-algebras (A,G,α) and (B,G,β) is a $*$-homomorphism $\phi : A \to B$ that is assumed to intertwine the actions, i.e.

$$\phi(\alpha_g(a)) = \beta_g(\phi(a)), \qquad \forall a \in A, g \in G,$$

or, equivalently,

$$\phi\circ\alpha_g = \beta_g\circ\phi, \qquad \forall g \in G.$$

As for the case of the K_0-group of a C*-algebra A, there are many ways of giving a concrete realisation of the equivariant K_0-group $K_0^G(A)$ of A. The pictures we are interested in are those based on idempotents and finitely generated projective modules, together with their respective equivariant generalisations.

Definition 2.8. Let (A,G,α) be a G-algebra. A finitely generated projective (A,G,α)-module is a pair (E,λ) consisting of a finitely generated projective A-module E and a strongly continuous group homomorphism λ from G to the invertible elements of $B(E)$ with coefficient map α, that is

$$\lambda_g(ea) = \lambda_g(e)\alpha_g(a), \qquad \forall a \in A, g \in G.$$

Let (A, G, α) be a G-algebra, π be a finite-dimensional representation of G over the vector space V and consider the A-module $V \otimes A$. It becomes an (A, G, α)-module when equipped with the diagonal action $\lambda := \pi \otimes \alpha$, which, in turn, induces an action of G on the C*-algebra of bounded and adjointable operators $B(V \otimes A)$ through

$$gT := \lambda_g \circ T \circ \lambda_g^{-1}, \qquad \forall g \in G.$$

Among all the elements of $B(V \otimes A)$ one can then consider the set of G-invariant projections, i.e.

$$P(V \otimes A)^G := \{p \in B(V \otimes A) \mid p^* \circ p = p \text{ and } Gp = \{p\}\}.$$

It is easy to verify that if $p \in P(V \otimes A)^G$ is a G-invariant projection, then $p(V \otimes A)$, that is the range of p, is a finitely generated projective (A, G, α)-module. The converse is also true, namely every finitely generated projective (A, G, α)-module is the range of a G-invariant projection $p \in B(V \otimes A)$ for some representation π of G over the finite dimensional vector space V (see [3, Proposition 11.2.3]). Hence, G-invariant projections and finitely generated projective (A, G, α)-modules are interchangeable objects.

If π and ω are two finite dimensional representations of G over the vector spaces V and W respectively, one can equip $B(V \otimes A, W \otimes A) \cong B(V, W) \otimes A$ with the G-action given by

$$gT := (\omega_g \otimes \alpha_g) \circ T \circ (\pi_g \otimes \alpha_g)^{-1}.$$

Here, as in [9], we are identifying $B(V, W) \otimes A$ with the Banach space given by the bottom-left corner of the natural matrix representation of the elements of $B((V \oplus W) \otimes A)$. Two G-invariant projections $p \in P(V \otimes A)^G$ and $q \in P(W \otimes A)^G$ are Murray-von Neumann equivalent (in symbols $p \simeq_G q$) if there exists a G-invariant element $v \in B(V \otimes A, W \otimes A)^G$, i.e. $gv = v$ for any $g \in G$, such that $p = v^* \circ v$ and $q = v \circ v^*$. Similarly to the non-equivariant case, Murray-von Neumann subequivalence is expressed as follows. One says that p is Murray-von Neumann subequivalent to q (in symbols $p \preceq_G q$) if there exists $v \in B(V \otimes A, W \otimes A)^G$ such that $p = v^* \circ v$ and $v \circ v^* \leq q$. The modules $p(V \otimes A)$ and $q(V \otimes A)$ are then isomorphic as (G, A, α)-modules if and only if p and q are Murray-von Neumann equivalent.

Definition 2.9. The equivariant Murray-von Neumann semigroup $V^G(A)$ of a unital G-algebra (A, G, α) is the set of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective (A, G, α) modules equipped with the operation $+$ derived from the direct sum of modules.

Equivalently, the equivariant Murray-von Neumann semigroup $V^G(A)$ can be defined as the set of classes of Murray-von Neumann equivalent G-invariant projections over all the modules of the form $V \otimes A$, where V is a finite dimensional representation vector space for G. The equivariant K_0-group of the G-algebra A is obtained from $V^G(A)$ through the usual Grothendieck enveloping group construction Γ, viz.

$$K_0^G(A) := \Gamma(V^G(A)).$$

As the aim of this paper is to provide an equivariant extension of bivariant Cuntz *semigroups*, we shall not consider this construction any further. Rather, we reformulate V^G in a slightly different way, which is more prone to a bivariant generalisation, by regarding each invariant projection $p \in P(V \otimes A)^G$ as a selfadjoint

idempotent from a larger module. We let $\hat{G}$ denote the set of unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations of G. Then, by H_G we denote the Hilbert space of the direct sum over $\hat{G}$ of the representation vector spaces of arbitrarily selected representative from each $\xi \in \hat{G}$, viz.

$$H_G := \bigoplus_{\xi \in \hat{G}} V_\xi,$$

where V_ξ is the representation vector space of a unitary irreducible representation π_ξ in the class ξ. The stabilisation of the Hilbert space H_G, that is $H_G^{\oplus\infty} \cong H_G \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, is then isomorphic to $L^2(G) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ by Peter-Weyl's theorem, and therefore

$$K(H_G \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes A) \cong A \otimes K(L^2(G)) \otimes K,$$

up to a reordering of the tensor factors. By equipping it with the diagonal action $\lambda \otimes \mathrm{id}_K \otimes \alpha$, where $\lambda : G \to B(L^2(G))$ is the left-regular representation of G, $L^2(G) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \otimes A$ becomes an (A, G, α)-module, and the equivariant Murray-von Neumann semigroup of A can then be identified with the Murray-von Neumann equivalence classes of G-invariant projections in $A \otimes K(L^2(G)) \otimes K$. That is, using K_G as a shorthand notation for $K(L^2(G)) \otimes K$, we have

$$V^G(A) \cong P(A \otimes K_G)^G / \simeq_G . \tag{1}$$

Example 2.10. If G is the trivial group $\{e\}$ and A is a G-algebra then $L^2(G) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and therefore $K_{\mathbb{C}} \cong K$. Hence, $V^G(A)$ is the ordinary Murray-von Neumann semigroup of the C*-algebra A.

Example 2.11. Let $G \neq \{e\}$ act trivially on the C*-algebra of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. One sees that the Grothendieck enveloping group of $V^G(\mathbb{C})$ coincides with the representation ring $R_{\mathbb{C}}(G)$, or simply $R(G)$, of the group G, i.e.

$$K_0^G(\mathbb{C}) = \Gamma(V^G(\mathbb{C})) \cong R(G),$$

where $R(G)$ is the set of formal differences of equivalence classes of finite-dimensional unitary representations of G, the ring structure coming from direct sums and tensor products.

About the equivariant K-theory of actions we now recall the already mentioned fundamental theorem of Julg that connects it to the ordinary K-theory of crossed products. This result has been generalised to the equivariant theory of the Cuntz semigroup in [9]. We also recall that we are here under the assumption that every group G we consider is compact.

Theorem 2.12 (Julg)**.** *Let (A, G, α) be a G-algebra. There is a natural isomorphism between $K_0^G(A)$ and $K_0(A \rtimes G)$.*

We refer the reader to [3, Theorem 11.7.1] for a proof of the above result.

2.3. **Equivariant KK-theory.** We provide now a brief account of equivariant KK-theory. As in [3, §20], we also restrict our attention to the second countable case, to which we also add compactness of all the groups, although some of the following results hold in greater generality.

Definition 2.13. Let (A, G, α) be a G-algebra. A Hilbert (A, G, α)-module is a Hilbert A-module E with an action of G on E which is continuous in the sense

that the map $g \mapsto \|(gx, gx)\|$, where $(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ denotes the inner product on E, is continuous for any $x \in E$, and compatible with the action α on A, i.e.

$$g(xa) = (gx)\alpha_g(a), \qquad \forall g \in G, x \in E, a \in A.$$

The grading can be extended to both G-algebras and equivariant Hilbert modules of the above definition. Then many of the results and operations involving graded Hilbert modules extend to the equivariant setting, including Kasparov's stabilisation theorem (see, for instance, [11, Theorem 1.2.12]). The equivariant analogue of a Kasparov triple is provided by the following definition.

Definition 2.14. Let A and B be graded G-algebras. A Kasparov A-B G-triple is a triple (E, ϕ, T), where E is a countably generated Hilbert (B, G, β)-module, $\phi : A \to B(E)$ is an equivariant graded $*$-homomorphism and $T \in B(E)$ is a G-invariant operator that satisfy

(EKT.1) $[\phi(a), T] \in K(E)$ for any $a \in A$;
(EKT.2) $\phi(a)(T^2 - 1_{B(E)}) \in K(E)$ for any $a \in A$;
(EKT.3) $\phi(a)(T - T^*) \in K(E)$ for any $a \in A$.

The above definition differs slightly from the more standard one of [3, Definition 20.2.1]. Indeed, here we are making use of the assumption that the group G is compact to average the operator T (see Proposition 20.2.4 of [3] for more details). The equivariant KK-group of the pair of C*-algebras A and B is then defined as in the non-equivariant case by taking homotopy classes of Kasparov A-B G-triples. One then gets a bivariant functor KK^G which, likewise KK, is contravariant in the first argument and covariant in the second.

With the natural identification $KK^G(\mathbb{C}, B) \cong K_0^G(B)$, that occurs when G acts trivially on $\mathbb{C}$, one sees immediately that the representation ring of the group G is recovered as $R(G) \cong KK^G(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C})$. More generally, when A is a G-algebra with the trivial action of G, one has the isomorphism

$$KK^G(A, B) \cong KK(A, B \rtimes G).$$

Furthermore, there is a group homomorphism $j_G : KK^G(A, B) \to KK(A \rtimes G, B \rtimes G)$ that is functorial in A and B and compatible with Kasparov product, as shown, e.g., in [3, Theorem 20.6.2].

2.4. **Open projections.** In Section 4 we define the equivariant Cuntz semigroup and we give an open projection picture. Hence, for the reader's convenience, we recall the definition of open projections and related notions.

In [1], Akemann has given a generalisation of the notion of open subsets to non-commutative C*-algebras by naturally replacing sets with projections.

Definition 2.15 (Open projection)**.** Let A be any C*-algebra. A projection $p \in A^{**}$ is *open* if it is the strong limit of an increasing net of positive elements $\{a_i\}_{i \in I} \subseteq A_+$.

Equivalently [1], a projection $p \in A^{**}$ is open if it belongs to the strong closure of the hereditary subalgebra $A_p \subseteq A$, where

$$A_p := pA^{**}p \cap A = pAp \cap A.$$

Observe that, for any positive element $a \in A_+$ that has $p \in A^{**}$ as support projection, one has

$$A_p = A_a,$$

where A_a is the hereditary C*-subalgebra of A generated by a, viz.

$$A_a := \overline{aAa}.$$

Throughout, the set of all the open projections of A in A^{**} will be denoted by $P_o(A^{**})$.

A projection $p \in A^{**}$ is said to be *closed* if its complement $1-p \in A^{**}$ is an open projection. The supremum of an arbitrary set $P \subset P_o(A^{**})$ of open projections in A^{**} is still an open projection and, likewise, the infimum of an arbitrary family of closed projections is still a closed projection, by results in [1]. Therefore, the closure of an open projection $p \in A^{**}$ can be defined as

$$\overline{p} := \inf\{q^*q = q \in A^{**} \mid 1 - q \in P_o(A^{**}) \ \wedge \ p \leq q\}.$$

Let B be a C*-subalgebra of A. A closed projection $p \in A^{**}$ is said to be *compact* in B if there exists a positive contraction $a \in B_+$ such that $pa = p$.

For a positive contraction a of a C*-algebra A, its *support projection* p_a is the open projection in A^{**} given by

$$p_a := \text{SOT} \lim_{n\to\infty} a^{\frac{1}{n}}.$$

3. Definitions and Main Properties

We introduce here an equivariant extension of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup developed in [4]. As already mentioned in the previous section, in this paper we restrict our attention to actions by second countable compact groups.

Based on Definition 2.4 and the presentation of the equivariant Murray-von Neumann semigroup given by Equation 1, the equivariant extension of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup of [4] that we seek in this paper should be based on a suitable notion of comparison between *equivariant* c.p.c. order zero maps.

A c.p.c. order zero map ϕ between two G-algebras (A, G, α) and (B, G, β) is said to be *equivariant* if it is an intertwiner for the actions α and β, that is

$$\phi \circ \alpha_g = \beta_g \circ \phi, \qquad \forall g \in G.$$

Unless otherwise stated, it will be assumed that a c.p.c. order zero map $\phi : A \to B$ between the G-algebras A and B is always equivariant. The Cuntz comparison of equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps then takes the following form.

Definition 3.1. Let A and B be G-algebras, and let $\phi, \psi : A \to B$ be c.p.c. order zero maps. We say that ϕ is equivariantly Cuntz-subequivalent to ψ (in symbols $\phi \precsim_G \psi$) if there exists a G-invariant sequence $\{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset B^G$ such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|b_n\psi(a)b_n^* - \phi(a)\| = 0$$

for any $a \in A$.

We observe that, in the separable case, the above definition has a standard *localisation*. Two c.p.c. order zero maps $\phi, \psi : A \to B$ are such that $\phi \precsim_G \psi$ if and only if, for every finite subset $F \Subset A$ and $\epsilon > 0$ there is $b \in B^G$ such that $\|b\psi(a)b^* - \phi(a)\| < \epsilon$ for any $a \in F$. Reflexivity follows trivially from the fact that, by a simple averaging argument, any G-algebra, with G compact, admits a G-invariant approximate unit.

Let $\sim_G$ denote the relation arising from the antisymmetrisation of the relation $\precsim_G$ just defined, that is $\phi \sim_G \psi$ if $\phi \precsim_G \psi$ and $\psi \precsim_G \phi$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let A and B be G-algebras. For any equivariant c.p.c. order zero map $\phi : A \to B$, finite subset $F \Subset A$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $x \in C^*(\phi(A))^G$ such that $\|x\phi(a)x^* - \phi(a)\| < \epsilon$.*

Proof. Fix a finite subset $F \Subset A$ and $\epsilon > 0$. By the existence of a G-invariant approximate unit for A, there is $e \in A^G$ such that $\|eae^* - a\| < \epsilon$ for any $a \in F$. Let h_ϕ and π_ϕ be the positive element and the $*$-homomorphism coming from Theorem 3.4 applied to ϕ. As $h_\phi^{\frac{2}{n}} h_\phi$ converges to h_ϕ in norm, there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\left\| h_\phi^{\frac{1}{m}} \phi(eae^*) h_\phi^{\frac{1}{m}} - \phi(eae^*) \right\| < \epsilon$ for every $a \in F$. With $x := h_\phi^{\frac{1}{m}} \pi_\phi(e) = \phi^{\frac{1}{m}}(e) \in B^G$, one has the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\phi(a)x^* - \phi(a)\| &= \left\| h_\phi^{\frac{1}{m}} \phi(eae^*) h_\phi^{\frac{1}{m}} - \phi(a) \right\| \\ &\leq \left\| h_\phi^{\frac{1}{m}} \phi(eae^*) h_\phi^{\frac{1}{m}} - \phi(eae^*) \right\| + \|\phi(eae^*) - \phi(a)\| \\ &< 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for any $a \in F$. □

If (A, G, α) is a G-algebra, we shall always assume that the tensor product $A \otimes K_G$ is equipped with the diagonal action $\alpha \otimes (\lambda_G \otimes \mathrm{id}_K)$, where λ_G is the left-regular representation of G on $L^2(G)$. As an equivariant generalisation of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup Cu of [4] we then give the following definition.

Definition 3.3. Let A and B be G-algebras. The equivariant bivariant Cuntz semigroup $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B)$ of A and B is the set of equivalence classes

$$\mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B) := \{\phi : A \otimes K_G \to B \otimes K_G \mid \phi \text{ equiv. c.p.c. order zero map}\} / \sim_G .$$

As in the case of the ordinary Cuntz semigroup and of its bivariant extension proposed in [4], the above semigroups can be equipped with a positive order structure by requiring

$$[\phi] \leq_G [\psi]$$

whenever $\phi \precsim_G \psi$. Hence, $(\mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B), \leq_G)$ becomes a positively ordered Abelian monoid for any pair of G-algebras A and B.

As discussed in [21], given any two C*-algebras A and B, there is a one-to-one correspondence between c.p.c. order zero maps from A to B and $*$-homomorphisms from the cone over A, i.e. $C_0((0, 1]) \otimes A$, to B. This result generalises to the equivariant setting by equipping the cone over A with the diagonal action, as shown by [8, Corollary 2.10].

We now give an equivariant extension of the structure theorem for c.p.c. order zero maps of [21].

Theorem 3.4. *Let (A, G, α) and (B, G, β) be G-algebras and let $\phi : A \to B$ be an equivariant c.p.c. order zero map. Set $C_\phi := C^*(\phi(A))$ and introduce an action of G on $\mathcal{M}(C_\phi)$ by restricting the bidual maps β_g^{**}, $g \in G$, onto it. Then there exists a G-invariant positive element $h_\phi \in \mathcal{M}(C_\phi)_+^G \cap C_\phi'$, with $\|h_\phi\| = \|\phi\|$, and a non-degenerate $*$-homomorphism $\pi_\phi : A \to \mathcal{M}(C_\phi) \cap \{h_\phi\}'$ such that $\beta_g^{**} \circ \pi_\phi = \pi_\phi \circ \alpha_g$ for any $g \in G$, and*

$$\phi(a) = h_\phi \pi_\phi(a), \qquad \forall a \in A.$$

Proof. By the structure theorem of [21], there are a positive element $h_\phi \in \mathcal{M}(C_\phi)_+ \cap C'_\phi$ with $\|h_\phi\| = \|\phi\|$ and a non-degenerate $*$-homomorphism $\pi_\phi : A \to \mathcal{M}(C_\phi) \cap \{h_\phi\}'$ such that $\phi(a) = h_\phi \pi_\phi(a)$ for any $a \in A$. If $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset A$ is an approximate unit, the equivariance of ϕ implies $h_\phi \pi_\phi(\alpha_g(e_n)) = \beta_g(h_\phi \pi_\phi(e_n))$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{SOT} \lim_{n\to\infty} [\phi(\alpha_g(e_n)) - \beta_g(\phi(e_n))] \\ &= h_\phi - \beta_g^{**}(h_\phi), \qquad \forall g \in G, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that h_ϕ is G-invariant in $\mathcal{M}(C_\phi)$, with the action given by the restriction of β^{**} to this multiplier algebra. Since $h_\phi^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is also G-invariant, equivariance also implies $h_\phi^{\frac{1}{n}}[\pi_\phi(\alpha_g(a)) - \beta_g^{**}(\pi_\phi(a))] = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a \in A$, whence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \text{SOT} \lim_{n\to\infty} h_\phi^{\frac{1}{n}}[\pi_\phi(\alpha_g(a)) - \beta_g^{**}(\pi_\phi(a))] \\ &= \pi_\phi(\alpha_g(a)) - \beta_g^{**}(\pi_\phi(a)), \qquad \forall a \in A \end{aligned}$$

i.e $\pi_\phi \circ \alpha_g = \beta_g^{**} \circ \pi_\phi$, for any $g \in G$. □

The proof of the above results nowhere uses that the group G is compact and therefore it applies to the non-compact case as well. The fact that such a result holds for the equivariant case allows us to give equivariant generalisations of some of the results in [4].

Proposition 3.5. *Let A, B and C be G-algebras, and let $\phi, \psi : A \to B$, $\eta, \theta : B \to C$ be equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps such that $\phi \precsim_G \psi$ and $\eta \precsim_G \theta$. Then $\eta \circ \phi \precsim_G \theta \circ \phi$ and $\eta \circ \phi \precsim_G \eta \circ \psi$.*

Proof. The implication $\eta \precsim_G \theta \Rightarrow \eta\circ\phi \precsim_G \theta\circ\phi$ is trivial. For the other implication, let h_η and π_η be the positive element and support $*$-homomorphism coming from Theorem 3.4 applied to η. For a finite subset $F \Subset A$ and $\epsilon > 0$, find $b \in B^G$ such that

$$\|b\psi(a)b^* - \phi(a)\| < \epsilon$$

for any $a \in F$. Since $h_\eta^{\frac{2}{n}} h_\eta$ converges to h_η in norm, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\| h_\eta^{\frac{1}{n}} \eta(b\psi(a)b^*) h_\eta^{\frac{1}{n}} - \eta(b\psi(a)b^*) \right\| < \epsilon,$$

for any $a \in F$. Therefore, with the element $d := h_\eta^{\frac{1}{n}} \pi_\eta(b) = \eta^{\frac{1}{n}}(b) \in C^G$, one has the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(\eta \circ \psi)(a)d^* - (\eta \circ \phi)(a)\| &\leq \left\| h_\eta^{\frac{1}{n}} \eta(b\psi(a)b^*) h_\eta^{\frac{1}{n}} - \eta(b\psi(a)b^*) \right\| \\ &\quad + \|\eta(b\psi(a)b^*) - (\eta \circ \phi)(a)\| \\ &< \epsilon + \|\eta\| \, \|b\psi(a)b^* - \phi(a)\| \\ &< 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\eta \circ \phi \precsim_G \eta \circ \psi$. □

Observe that the $C_0((0,1])_+$-functional calculus of [21] extends to the equivariant case. Indeed, $f(\phi) := f(h_\phi)\pi_\phi$ is an equivariant c.p. map for every equivariant c.p.c. order zero map ϕ and $f \in C_0((0,1])_+$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let A and B be C^*-algebras, and let $\phi : A \to B$ be an equivariant c.p.c. order zero map. For any pair of positive continuous functions $f, g \in C_0((0,1])_+$ such that $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq \operatorname{supp} g$ we have that $f(\phi) \precsim_G g(\phi)$.*

Proof. Fix a finite subset F of A and an $\epsilon > 0$. For a given pair of positive continuous functions $f, g \in C_0((0,1])_+$ such that $\operatorname{supp} f \subseteq \operatorname{supp} g$, find $k \in C_0((0,1])_+$ with the property that $\|gk - f\| < \frac{\epsilon}{M}$, where $M := \max_{a\in F} \|a\|$, e.g. like in the proof of [2, Proposition 2.5]. By an argument similar to that of the proof of Lemma 3.2 we can find $e \in B^G$ such that

$$\|eg(\phi)(a)e^* - (gk)(\phi)(a)\| < \epsilon$$

for any $a \in F$. We then have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\|eg(\phi)(a)e^* - f(\phi)(a)\| &\leq \|eg(\phi)(a)e^* - (gk)(\phi)(a)\| + \|(gk)(\phi)(a) - f(\phi)(a)\| \\ &< \epsilon + \frac{\epsilon\,\|a\|}{M} \\ &\leq 2\epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

for any $a \in F$. □

3.1. **Stability.** We recall that for every $*$-isomorphism $\gamma : K \otimes K \to K$ and minimal projection $e \in K$ there exists an isometry $v \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ such that $\mathrm{Ad}_v \circ \gamma \circ (\mathrm{id}_K \otimes e) = \mathrm{id}_K$. By Fell's absorption principle this fact generalises to the equivariant setting. First of all we observe that, inside the algebra K_G there is a minimal G-invariant projection e_G. This is given by $e \otimes e_0$, where e is any minimal projection of K and e_0 is the minimal projection in $K(L^2(G))$ that projects onto the representation space of the trivial representation of G. Furthermore, the flip $a \otimes e_G \mapsto e_G \otimes a$ is implemented by a G-invariant unitary.

Proposition 3.7. *The G-algebras $K_G \otimes K_G$ and K_G are equivariantly isomorphic. Furthermore, for every equivariant isomorphism $\gamma_G : K_G \otimes K_G \to K_G$ there exists a G-invariant isometry $v \in B(L^2(G) \otimes \ell^2(\mathbb{N}))^G$ with the property that $\mathrm{Ad}_v \circ \gamma_G \circ (\mathrm{id}_{K_G} \otimes e_G) = \mathrm{id}_{K_G}$.*

Proof. By Fell's absorption principle, the G-algebras

$$(K_G \otimes K_G, (\mathrm{id}_K \otimes \lambda_G) \otimes (\mathrm{id}_K \otimes \lambda_G)) \quad \text{and} \quad (K_G \otimes K_G, (\mathrm{id}_K \otimes \lambda_G) \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G})$$

are equivariantly isomorphic by a map ϕ that is such that $\phi \circ (\mathrm{id}_{K_G} \otimes e_G) = \mathrm{id}_{K_G} \otimes e_G$. Since for every fixed isomorphism $\gamma : (K, \mathrm{id}_K) \otimes (K_G, \mathrm{id}_{K_G}) \to (K, \mathrm{id}_K)$ there exists an isometry $w \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ with the property that $\mathrm{Ad}_w \circ \gamma \circ (\mathrm{id}_K \otimes e_G) = \mathrm{id}_K$, the sought map is then given by $\gamma_G := \mathrm{id}_{K(L^2(G))} \otimes \gamma$, with the G-invariant isometry v given by $1_{B(L^2(G))} \otimes w$. □

Lemma 3.8. *Let A, B, C and D be G-algebras, and let $\phi, \psi : A \to B$, $\eta : C \to D$ be equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps such that $\phi \precsim_G \psi$. Then $\eta \otimes \phi \precsim_G \eta \otimes \psi$ for any tensor norm.*

Proof. If $\{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset B^G$ is the sequence that witnesses $\phi \precsim_G \psi$, then $\{d_n \otimes b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, where $\{d_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset D^G$ is a G-invariant approximate unit for D, witnesses the sought equivariant Cuntz subequivalence between $\eta \otimes \phi$ and $\eta \otimes \psi$. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let A and B be G-algebras and let $\phi, \psi : A \to B$ be equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps. Then $\phi \precsim_G \psi$ in B if and only if $\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G} \precsim_G \psi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G}$ in $B \otimes K_G$.*

Proof. The implication $\phi \precsim_G \psi \Rightarrow \phi\otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G} \precsim_G \psi\otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G}$ follows from the previous lemma. For the other implication observe that B embeds into $B\otimes K_G$ by means of the injective map $b \overset{\iota}{\mapsto} b\otimes e_G$. If $\{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (B\otimes K_G)^G$ is the sequence that witnesses the relation $\phi\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G} \precsim_G \psi\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G}$ then, with $x_n := (1_{\tilde{B}}\otimes e_G)b_n(1_{\tilde{B}}\otimes e_G) \in B\otimes\{e_G\}$, where $1_{\tilde{B}}$ is either the unit of B or that of its minimal unitisation $\tilde{B}$, we have

$$\|x_n^*(\psi(a)\otimes e_G)x_n - \phi(a)\otimes e_G\| \to 0, \qquad \forall a \in A$$

which can be pulled back to B through ι to give

$$\left\|\iota^{-1}(x_n)^*\psi(a)\iota^{-1}(x_n) - \phi(a)\right\| \to 0, \qquad \forall a \in A.$$

Since $x_n \in (B\otimes\{e_G\})^G = B^G\otimes\{e_G\}$, it follows that $\iota^{-1}(x_n) \in B^G$, for any $n\in\mathbb{N}$, whence $\phi \precsim_G \psi$. □

Thanks to the above proposition and the map γ_G of Proposition 3.7, the stability of Cu^G holds in the rather general form of the following result.

Theorem 3.10. *For any pair of G-algebras A and B, the partially ordered Abelian monoids $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)$ and $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A\otimes K_G, B\otimes K_G)$ are order isomorphic.*

Proof. Mutual inverses are given by the pair of semigroup homomorphisms

$$[\phi] \mapsto [\phi\otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G}], \qquad [\phi] \in \mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)$$

and

$$[\Phi] \mapsto [(\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\gamma_G)\circ\Phi\circ(\mathrm{id}_{A\otimes K_G}\otimes e_G)],$$

where e_G is the minimal G-invariant projection in K_G mentioned at the beginning of this section. Indeed, by making use of Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 3.8 one has

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\gamma_G)\circ(\phi\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})\circ(\mathrm{id}_{A\otimes K_G}\otimes e_G) &= (\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\gamma_G)\circ(\phi\otimes e_G)\\ &= (\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\gamma_G)\circ(\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G}\otimes e_G)\circ\phi\\ &\sim_G (\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})\circ\phi\\ &= \phi\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}((\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\gamma_G)\circ\Phi\circ(\mathrm{id}_{A\otimes K_G}\otimes e_G))\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G} &=\\ &= (\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\gamma_G\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})\circ(\Phi\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})\circ(\mathrm{id}_{A\otimes K_G}\otimes e_G\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})\\ &\sim_G (\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\gamma_G\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})\circ(\Phi\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})\circ(\mathrm{id}_{A\otimes K_G}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G}\otimes e_G)\\ &= (\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\gamma_G\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})\circ(\Phi\otimes e_G)\\ &= (\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\gamma_G\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})\circ(\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G}\otimes e_G)\circ\Phi\\ &\sim_G (\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\gamma_G\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})\circ(\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G}\otimes e_G\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})\circ\Phi\\ &\sim_G (\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G}\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})\circ\Phi\\ &= \Phi,\end{aligned}$$

which become equalities at the level of equivariant Cuntz classes. □

Corollary 3.11. *Let A and B be G-algebras. For every $\Phi \in \mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)$ there exists an equivariant c.p.c. order zero map $\phi : A \to B\otimes K_G$ such that $\Phi = [(\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\gamma_G)\circ(\phi\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G})]$.*

Proof. Define a semigroup $\mathsf{cu}^G(A,B)$ of Cuntz-equivalence classes of equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps from A to $B\otimes K_G$, equipped with the same binary operation of $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)$ and repeat the argument of the previous proof to show that they are isomorphic. This amounts to replacing $\mathrm{id}_{A\otimes K_G}$ with id_A. □

The following example shows that Definition 3.3 gives an equivariant extension of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup of Definition 2.4.

Example 3.12. Let G be the trivial group $\{e\}$. Then $K_G=\mathbb{C}\otimes K\cong K$ with the trivial action and therefore $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)\cong\mathsf{Cu}(A,B)$.

The example that follows shows that Definition 3.3 can be regarded as an bivariant extension of the equivariant Cuntz semigroup defined in [9].

Example 3.13. Let (A,G,α) and (B,G,β) be G-algebras. Theorem 3.10 implies that, for every class $\Phi\in\mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)$, there exists a representative of the form[1] $\phi\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G}$, where $\phi:A\to B\otimes K_G$ is an equivariant c.p.c. order zero map. When $A=\mathbb{C}$ with the trivial action of G then

$$\phi(z)=zh_\phi,\qquad\forall z\in\mathbb{C},$$

where h_ϕ is a G-invariant positive element in $B\otimes K_G$ by Theorem 3.4. Hence, $\mathsf{Cu}^G(\mathbb{C},B)$ can be identified with Cuntz-equivalence classes of G-invariant positive elements from $B\otimes K_G$, i.e.

$$\mathsf{Cu}^G(\mathbb{C},B)\cong\mathsf{Cu}^G(B).$$

More generally, if G acts trivially on A, then any equivariant c.p.c. order zero map $\phi:A\to B\otimes K_G$ maps into the fixed point algebra $(A\otimes K_G)^G\cong(A\rtimes G)\otimes K$, so that one sees that there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)\cong\mathsf{Cu}(A,B\rtimes G).$$

3.2. **Functoriality.** We now investigate the functoriality of the equivariant bivariant Cuntz semigroup $\mathsf{Cu}^G(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$. The following two theorems show that $\mathsf{Cu}^G(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ defines a bifunctor from the category of G-algebras to that of positively ordered Abelian monoids, contravariant in the first and covariant in the second.

Theorem 3.14. *Let B be a G-algebra. $\mathsf{Cu}^G(\,\cdot\,,B)$ is a contravariant functor from the category of G-algebras to that of ordered Abelian monoids.*

Proof. Let A_1,A_2 be any G-algebras, and consider a homomorphism $f:A_1\to A_2$ and an equivariant c.p.c. order zero map $\psi:A_2\otimes K_G\to B\otimes K_G$. By defining $f^*(\psi)$ as

$$f^*(\psi):=\psi\circ(f\otimes\mathrm{id}_{K_G}),$$

the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1\otimes K_G & \xrightarrow{\;f^*(\psi)\;} & \\ {\scriptstyle f}\big\downarrow & & B\otimes K_G \\ A_2\otimes K_G & \xrightarrow{\;\psi\;} & \end{array}$$

commutes. Hence $f^*(\psi)$ is an equivariant c.p.c. order zero map and therefore f^* defines a pull-back which can be projected onto equivalence classes by setting

$$\mathsf{Cu}^G(f,B)([\psi])=[f^*(\psi)],\qquad\forall[\psi]\in\mathsf{Cu}^G(A_2,B).$$

[1]Here we are tacitly dropping the map $\mathrm{id}_B\otimes\gamma_G$ of Corollary 3.11 in order to ease the notation.

It is easy to check that this yields a well-defined map. □

Theorem 3.15. *Let A be a G-algebra. $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A, \cdot\,)$ is a covariant functor from the category of G-algebras to that of ordered Abelian monoids.*

Proof. Let B_1 and B_2 be any G-algebras, $g : B_1 \to B_2$ an equivariant $*$-homomorphism and $\psi : A \otimes K_G \to B_1 \otimes K_G$ a c.p.c. order zero map. Define $g_*(\psi)$ as

$$g_*(\psi) := (g \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G}) \circ \psi.$$

so that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \overset{\psi}{\nearrow} & B_1 \otimes K_G \\ A \otimes K_G & & \big\downarrow g \\ & \underset{g_*(\psi)}{\searrow} & B_2 \otimes K_G \end{array}$$

commutes. Such map is clearly equivariant c.p.c. order zero and defines a push-forward between c.p.c. order zero maps that gives rise to the well-defined semigroup homomorphism

$$\mathsf{Cu}^G(A, g)([\psi]) = [g_*(\psi)], \qquad \forall [\psi] \in \mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B),$$

since $g(A^G) \subset B^G$. □

Remark 3.16. The last two theorems still hold if one considers equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps instead of equivariant $*$-homomorphisms as arrows between G-algebras.

3.3. **Additivity.** Let A_1, A_2 and B be G-algebras. We shall say that two equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps $\phi : A_1 \to B$ and $\psi : A_2 \to B$ are orthogonal, and we shall indicate this by $\phi \perp \psi$, if $\phi(A_1)\psi(A_2) = \{0\}$. This implies, in particular, that the positive elements $h_\phi, h_\psi \in B^{**}$ coming from Theorem 3.4 applied to ϕ and ψ respectively are orthogonal, i.e. $h_\phi h_\psi = 0$ in B^{**}.

Proposition 3.17. *Let A_1, A_2 and B be G-algebras and let $\phi_1 : A_1 \to B$ and $\phi_2 : A_2 \to B$ be c.p.c. order zero maps such that $\phi_1 \perp \phi_2$. Then $\phi_1(A_1) \cap \phi_2(A_2) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Assume that there are $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2$ such that $b = \phi_1(a_1) = \phi_2(a_2)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|b\|^2 &= \|b^* b\| \\ &= \|\phi_1(a_1)^* \phi_2(a_2)\| \\ &= \|\phi_1(a_1^*)\phi_2(a_2)\| \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by orthogonality of ϕ_1 and ϕ_2. Hence, $b = 0$. □

Let A_1 and A_2 be G-algebras. We observe that, given two equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps $\phi_1 : A_1 \to B$ and $\phi_2 : A_2 \to B$, their direct sum $\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2$ is easily seen to be an equivariant c.p.c. order zero map from $A_1 \oplus A_2$ to $M_2(B)$, where the action on $A_1 \oplus A_2$ is $\alpha_1 \oplus \alpha_2$ and that on $M_2(B) \cong M_2 \otimes B$ is $\mathrm{id}_2 \otimes \beta$. For the converse of this property we provide the following results.

Lemma 3.18. *Let A_1, A_2, B be G-algebras. A map $\phi : A_1 \oplus A_2 \to B$ is an equivariant c.p.c. order zero if and only if there are equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps $\phi_1 : A_1 \to B$ and $\phi_2 : A_2 \to B$ such that*

i. $\phi_1(a_1) + \phi_2(a_2) = \phi(a_1, a_2)$, *for any* $a_1 \in A_1$ *and* $a_2 \in A_2$*;*
ii. $\phi_1 \perp \phi_2$.

Proof. Let $\phi : A_1 \oplus A_2 \to B$ be a c.p.c. order zero map. Define the equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps $\phi_1 : A_1 \to B$ and $\phi_2 : A_2 \to B$ as

$$\phi_1(a_1) := \phi(a_1, 0) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \phi_2(a_2) := \phi(0, a_2)$$

respectively, with $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2$. Then clearly one has that $\phi(a_1, a_2) = \phi_1(a_1) + \phi_2(a_2)$. Furthermore, the order zero property implies that

$$\phi_1(a_1)\phi_2(a_2) = \phi(a_1, 0)\phi(0, a_2) = 0,$$

for any $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2$, whence $\phi_1 \perp \phi_2$.

Conversely, assume that there are equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 with the desired properties. Their sum $\phi_1 + \phi_2$ is clearly an equivariant c.p. map. Contractivity follows from the orthogonality $\phi_1 \perp \phi_2$. Let h_1 and h_2 be the positive elements coming from Theorem 3.4 applied to ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 respectively. Then

$$\|\phi\| = \|h_1 + h_2\| \leq 1,$$

since $h_1 \perp h_2$. The order zero property follows immediately from the fact that both ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 are assumed to be orthogonality preserving. □

Lemma 3.19. *Let* A_1*,* A_2 *and* B *be* G*-algebras, and let* $\phi_1 : A_1 \to B$ *and* $\phi_2 : A_2 \to B$ *be equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps such that* $\phi_1 \perp \phi_2$*. Then*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 + \phi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \sim_G \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

in $M_2(B)$*, where* $\phi_1 + \phi_2$ *is the equivariant c.p.c. order zero map from* $A_1 \oplus A_2$ *to* B *given by* $(\phi_1 + \phi_2)(a_1, a_2) := \phi_1(a_1) + \phi_2(a_2)$.

Proof. By the previous lemma, the matrix on the left is a well-defined equivariant c.p.c. order zero map from $A_1 \oplus A_2$ to $M_2(B)$. Fix a G-invariant approximate unit $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ for A, and introduce the G-invariant sequences of $M_2(B)$

$$x_n := \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^{\frac{1}{2}}(e_n) & 0 \\ \phi_2^{\frac{1}{2}}(e_n) & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad y := \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^{\frac{1}{4}}(e_n) & \phi_2^{\frac{1}{4}}(e_n) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

One easily sees from Theorem 3.4 and the definition of functional calculus for equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| x_n \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 + \phi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}(a) x_n^* - \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2^2 \end{bmatrix}(a) \right\| = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| y_n \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}(a) y_n^* - \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 + \phi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}(a) \right\| = 0$$

for any $a \in A_1 \oplus A_2$, whence

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \precsim_G \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 + \phi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 + \phi_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \precsim_G \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By Proposition 3.6 we then have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2^2 \end{bmatrix} \sim_G \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{bmatrix} \sim_G \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

which concludes the proof. □

Proposition 3.20. *For any triple of G-algebras A_1, A_2 and B, the partially ordered semigroup isomorphism*

$$\mathsf{Cu}^G(A_1 \oplus A_2, B) \cong \mathsf{Cu}^G(A_1, B) \oplus \mathsf{Cu}^G(A_2, B)$$

holds.

Proof. Let $\sigma : \mathsf{Cu}^G(A_1, B) \oplus \mathsf{Cu}^G(A_2, B) \to \mathsf{Cu}^G(A_1 \oplus A_2, B)$ be the map given by

$$\sigma([\phi_1] \oplus [\phi_2]) = [\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2].$$

By the above two lemmas it is clear that this map is surjective. To prove injectivity we show that $\phi_1 \oplus \phi_2 \precsim_G \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2$ implies $\phi_k \precsim_G \psi_k$, $k = 1, 2$. By hypothesis there exists a sequence $\{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (B \otimes K_G)^G$ such that

$$b_n^*(\psi_1(a_1) \oplus \psi_2(a_2))b_n \to \phi_1(a_1) \oplus \phi_2(a_2)$$

in norm for every $a_1 \in A_1, a_2 \in A_2$. As $M_2(B \otimes K_G) \cong B \otimes K_G$ equivariantly, the sequence b_n has the structure

$$b_n = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} b_{n,ij} \otimes e_{ij},$$

where $b_{n,ij} \in (B \otimes K_G)^G$ for any $i, j = 1, 2$ and $\{e_{ij}\}_{i,j=1,2}$ form the standard basis of matrix units for M_2. Thus, for $a_2 = 0$, one finds that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| b_{n,11}^* \psi_1(a_1) b_{n,11} - \phi_1(a_1) \right\| = 0$$

for any $a_1 \in A_1$, i.e. $\phi_1 \precsim_G \psi_1$. A similar argument with $a_1 = 0$ leads to the conclusion that $\phi_2 \precsim_G \psi_2$ as well. To check that σ preserves the semigroup operations it suffices to show that

$$(\phi_1 \hat{\oplus} \phi_2) \oplus (\psi_1 \hat{\oplus} \psi_2) \sim_G (\phi_1 \oplus \psi_1) \hat{\oplus} (\phi_2 \oplus \psi_2).$$

A direct computation reveals that such equivalence is witnessed by the sequence $\{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset M_4((B \otimes K_G)^G)$ given by

$$b_n := u_n \otimes (e_{11} + e_{44} + e_{23} + e_{32}),$$

where $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (B \otimes K_G)^G$ is an approximate unit for $B \otimes K_G$. □

As in the case of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup and of KK-theory, $\mathsf{Cu}^G(\,\cdot\,,\,\cdot\,)$ is countably additive in the first argument. We now proceed to prove this result which, *en passant*, provides an alternative proof for the finite additivity in the first argument.

Lemma 3.21. *Let A and B be G-algebras, $\phi : A \to B$ a countable sum of pair-wise orthogonal equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps, that is*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| \phi(a) - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi_k(a) \right\| = 0, \qquad \forall a \in A,$$

where each ϕ_k is an equivariant c.p.c. order zero map and $\phi_k \perp \phi_i$ for any $i \neq k$ in $\mathbb{N}$, and $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ a sequence that sums up to 1. Then

$$\phi \sim_G \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k.$$

Proof. Fix a finite subset F of A and $\epsilon > 0$. Find an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \phi_k(a) \right\| < \epsilon$$

for any $a \in F$. Define the C*-subalgebras $B_k := C^*(\phi_k(A)) \subset B$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 3.2, find $e_k \in B_k^G$ such that

$$\|e_k \phi_k(a) e_k^* - \phi_k(a)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{n}$$

for any $a \in F$ and $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Observe that the orthogonality of the maps ϕ_k implies that $e_i \perp e_k$ for any $i \neq k$. With the element $x \in B^G$ defined as

$$x := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{e_k}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}}$$

one has the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| x \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k(a) \right) x^* - \phi(a) \right\| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \|e_k \phi_k(a) e_k^* - \phi_k(a)\| + \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \phi_k(a) \right\| \\ &< \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\epsilon}{n} + \epsilon \\ &\leq 2\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for any $a \in F$. Hence, $\phi \precsim_G \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k$. For the converse subequivalence, find, if necessary, a new n for which

$$\left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k(a) \right\| < \epsilon$$

for any $a \in F$, and new elements $e_k \in B_k^G$, $k = 1, \ldots, n$ such that

$$\|e_k \phi_k(a) e_k^* - \phi_k(a)\| < \frac{\epsilon}{n}.$$

With the element $y \in B^G$ defined as

$$y := \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{\lambda_k} e_k$$

one has the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| y\phi(a)y^* - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \phi_k(a) \right\| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \|e_k \phi_k(a) e_k^* - \phi_k(a)\| + \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \phi_k(a) \right\| \\ &< \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\epsilon}{n} + \epsilon \\ &\leq 2\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for any $a \in F$, which implies that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k \precsim \phi$. □

Lemma 3.22. *Let $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \cup \{B\}$ be a countable family of G-algebras. Then any equivariant c.p.c. order zero map $\phi : \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \to B$ is such that*

$$\phi \otimes e \sim \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi|_{A_k}}{2^k}$$

in $B \otimes K$, where $e \in K$ is a minimal projection and $\phi|_{A_k}$ is defined as

$$\phi|_{A_k}(a_k) := \phi(0, \cdots, 0, a_k, 0, \cdots), \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}, a_k \in A_k.$$

Proof. Assume, without loss of generality, that $e = e_{11}$, and denote by A and ψ the direct sum of the A_ks and of the $\frac{\phi|_{A_k}}{2^k}$s respectively. Fix a G-invariant approximate unit $\{e_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of A, set

$$\xi_{k,n} := \frac{\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}|_{A_k}(e_n)}{2^{k/2}}, \qquad \eta_{k,n} := \frac{\phi^{\frac{1}{4}}|_{A_k}(e_n)}{2^{k/4}}$$

for any $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$, and define the G-invariant sequences $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}, \{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in (B \otimes K)^G$ by

$$x_n := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \xi_{k,n} \otimes e_{k1} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{1,n} & 0 & \cdots \\ \xi_{2,n} & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}, \quad y := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_{k,n} \otimes e_{1k} = \begin{bmatrix} \eta_{1,n} & \eta_{2,n} & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix},$$

By Theorem 3.4 one gets to the conclusion that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| x_n(\phi \otimes e)(a)x_n^* - \psi^2(a) \right\| = 0$$

and

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \left\| y\psi^{\frac{1}{2}}(a)y^* - \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi|_{A_k}}{2^k} \otimes e \right)(a) \right\| = 0$$

for any $a \in A$. Since $\psi \sim_G \psi^2 \sim_G \psi^{\frac{1}{2}}$ by Proposition 3.6 and $\phi \sim_G \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\phi|_{A_k}}{2^k}$ by the previous lemma, the result now follows. □

Proposition 3.23. *Let $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \cup \{B\}$ be a countable family of G-algebras. Then the semigroups $\prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathsf{Cu}^G(A_n, B)$ and $\mathsf{Cu}^G\left(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n, B\right)$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Let $\sigma : \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathsf{Cu}^G(A_n, B) \to \mathsf{Cu}^G\left(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n, B\right)$ be the semigroup homomorphism defined by

$$\sigma\left([\phi_1], [\phi_2], \ldots\right) := \left[(\mathrm{id}_B \otimes \gamma) \circ \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{2^n}\phi_n \right],$$

where $\gamma : K_G \otimes K \to K_G$ is any equivariant $*$-isomorphism. For any minimal projection $e \in K$, $\gamma \circ (\mathrm{id}_{K_G} \otimes e)$ is conjugate to id_{K_G} by a G-invariant isometry $w \in (B(L^2(G)), \lambda_G)$ and therefore $\gamma \circ (\mathrm{id}_G \otimes e) \sim_G \mathrm{id}_{K_G}$. An inverse of σ is provided by the semigroup homomorphism $\rho : \mathsf{Cu}^G\left(\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n, B\right) \to \prod_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathsf{Cu}^G(A_n, B)$ given by

$$\rho([\phi]) := ([\phi|_{A_1}], [\phi|_{A_2}], \ldots),$$

where $\phi|_{A_k}(a_k) := \phi(a_k \otimes e_{kk})$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_k \in A_k$. Indeed, by the previous lemma

$$(\mathrm{id}_B \otimes \gamma) \circ \bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{\phi|_{A_n}}{2^n} \sim_G (\mathrm{id}_B \otimes \gamma) \circ (\phi \otimes e) \sim_G \phi$$

and

$$\gamma_G \circ \left(\frac{\phi_k}{2^k} \otimes e_{kk} \right) \sim_G \gamma_G \circ (\phi_k \otimes e) \sim_G \phi_k, \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$$

since every minimal projection e_{kk} is Cuntz-equivalent to e, and $\lambda\phi_k \sim_G \phi_k$ for any $\lambda \in (0,1)$. □

Proposition 3.24. *For any triple of G-algebras A, B_1 and B_2, the partially ordered semigroup isomorphism*

$$\mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B_1 \oplus B_2) \cong \mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B_1) \oplus \mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B_2)$$

holds.

Proof. Since $(B_1 \oplus B_2) \otimes K_G$ is equivariantly isomorphic to $(B_1 \otimes K_G) \oplus (B_2 \otimes K_G)$ one has that for every equivariant c.p.c. order zero map $\phi : A \to (B_1 \oplus B_2) \otimes K_G$ there are equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps $\phi_k : A \to B_k \otimes K_G$, $k = 1, 2$ such that ϕ can be identified with[2] $\phi_1 \hat{\oplus} \phi_2$. This shows that the map $\rho : \mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B_1) \oplus \mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B_2) \to \mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B_1 \oplus B_2)$ given by

$$\rho([\phi_1] \oplus [\phi_2]) := [\phi_1 \hat{\oplus} \phi_2]$$

is surjective. Injectivity follows from the fact that $\phi_1 \hat{\oplus} \phi_2 \precsim_G \psi_1 \hat{\oplus} \psi_2$ implies $\phi_1 \precsim_G \psi_1$ and $\phi_2 \precsim_G \psi_2$, which is obvious. □

3.4. **Relation with Crossed Products.** In KK-theory there is a group homomorphism between the equivariant KK-group and the KK-group of the crossed product, [3, §2.6]. We now provide an analogue of this result within the framework of the equivariant extension of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup of [4] proposed in this paper.

Proposition 3.25. *Let A and B be G-algebras. Every equivariant c.p.c. order zero map $\phi : A \to B$ induces a c.p.c. order zero map $\phi_\rtimes : A \rtimes G \to B \rtimes G$ between the crossed products.*

Proof. Consider the map $\phi_* : L^1(G, A) \to L^1(G, B)$ given by post-composition, that is,

$$\phi_*(f)(g) := \phi(f(g)), \qquad \forall f \in L^1(G, A), g \in G.$$

It is immediate to check that it gives rise to a c.p.c. map. Furthermore, if $a, b \in L^1(G, A)$ are such that $a * b = 0$ and μ is the Haar measure on G, then one has

$$\begin{aligned}(\phi_*(a) * \phi_*(b))(g) &= \int_G \phi\big(a(h)\big)\beta_h\left(\phi(b(h^{-1}g))\right) \mathrm{d}\mu(h) \\ &= \int_G h_\phi \phi\big(a(h)\alpha_h(b(h^{-1}g))\big) \mathrm{d}\mu(h) \\ &= h_\phi \phi((a * b)(g)), \qquad \forall g \in G\end{aligned}$$

whence $\phi_*(a) * \phi_*(b) = 0$. Therefore, ϕ_* extends to a c.p.c. order zero map $\phi_\rtimes : A \rtimes G \to B \rtimes G$. □

For an alternative proof of the above result, that relies on the one-to-one correspondence between c.p.c. order zero maps and $*$-homomorphisms over the cone, we refer the reader to [7, Proposition 2.3].

[2]Such maps are given by $\phi_k := (\pi_k \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G}) \circ \phi$, where π_k is the natural projection $\pi_k : B_1 \oplus B_2 \to B_k$.

Proposition 3.26. *Let A and B be G-algebras and let $\phi, \psi : A \to B$ be equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps such that $\phi \precsim_G \psi$. Then $\phi_{\rtimes} \precsim \psi_{\rtimes}$.*

Proof. Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset L^1(G)$ be an approximate unit for $L^1(G)$. If $\{b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset B^G$ is the sequence witnessing the subequivalence $\phi \precsim_G \psi$, then a direct computation shows that the sequence $\{d_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset L^1(G, B)$ given by[3]

$$d_n := b_n \otimes f_n$$

is such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|d_n \psi_{\rtimes}(a \otimes f) {d_n}^* - \phi_{\rtimes}(a \otimes f)\| = 0, \qquad \forall a \otimes f \in L^1(G, A),$$

whence $\phi_{\rtimes} \precsim \psi_{\rtimes}$. □

This last result shows that the assignment $\phi \mapsto \phi_{\rtimes}$ becomes well-defined when considered at the level of classes. Furthermore, one can easily check that

$$(\mathrm{id}_A)_{\rtimes} = \mathrm{id}_{A\rtimes G}$$

for any G-algebra (A, G, α). Therefore, one has the following result.

Theorem 3.27. *Let A and B be G-algebras. There is a natural semigroup homomorphism*

$$j^G : \mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B) \to \mathsf{Cu}(A \rtimes G, B \rtimes G)$$

which is functorial in A and B and compatible with the composition product.

Proof. The sought map j^G is defined as

$$j^G([\phi]) := [\phi_{\rtimes}]$$

which is well-defined as a consequence of the above proposition. □

3.5. **Equivariant Cuntz Homology.** A notion of *Cuntz homology* for compact Hausdorff spaces has been introduced in [4]. Its definition follows the way K-homology is recovered from KK-theory, namely by fixing the second argument to be the algebra of complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$. More generally, one can see that $\mathsf{Cu}(A, \mathbb{C})$ encodes information relative to the finite dimensional representation theory of the C*-algebra A in the first argument. However, this topic will be touched upon elsewhere [19].

We now proceed to define an equivariant version of Cuntz homology analogously to the non-equivariant case of [4], and provide a concrete realisation for compact group actions on compact Hausdorff spaces.

Definition 3.28. A *topological dynamical system* is a triple (X, G, α) consisting of a topological space X, a topological group and a continuous G-action α of G on X.

When the specification of the action is not necessary we shall refer to the topological space X to denote the topological dynamical system (X, G, α). A topological dynamical system (X, G, α) is compact if its underlying topological space X and the group G is.

[3]For $f \in L^1(G)$ and $b \in B$, the tensor product $b \otimes f$, sometimes also denoted simply by bf, can be identified with the B-valued function of class L^1 on G with respect to the Haar measure given by $g \mapsto f(g)b$ almost everywhere.

Definition 3.29. Let (X, G, α) be a compact topological dynamical system. The equivariant Cuntz homology of (X, G, α) is the Abelian monoid

$$\mathsf{Cu}^G(X) := \mathsf{Cu}^G(C(X), \mathbb{C}).$$

We now recall part of the terminology and definitions introduced in [4, §5.3] for the reader's convenience.

Definition 3.30. The spectrum $\sigma(\phi)$ of a c.p.c. order zero map $\phi : C(X) \to K$ is the closed subset $C_\phi \subset X$ associated to the kernel of ϕ, i.e.

$$\sigma(\phi) := \{x \in X \mid f(x) = 0 \ \forall f \in \ker \phi\}.$$

The set of isolated points of the spectrum of a c.p.c. order zero map from $C(X)$ to K will be denoted by $\sigma_i(\phi)$, while the essential part is defined as $\sigma_{\text{ess}}(\phi) := \sigma(\phi) \smallsetminus \sigma_i(\phi)$. Set $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0 := \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{\infty\}$ and observe that, given a function $\nu \in \tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0^X$, one can operate the same decomposition on $\operatorname{supp} \nu$, thus obtaining a part ν_i supported by isolated points, and a part ν_{ess} supported by the essential part, such that $\nu = \nu_i + \nu_{\text{ess}}$ and ν_i and ν_{ess} have disjoint supports.

Denote by $\mathrm{Mf}^G(X)$ the set of functions ν in $\tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0^{X/G}$ with closed support[4] and such that the essential part ν_{ess}, when non-zero, has range in $\{\infty\}$. Then one has the identification

$$\mathrm{Mf}^G(X) = \mathrm{Mf}(X/G) \cong \mathsf{Cu}(X/G),$$

where X/G denotes the orbit space of X under the action of G, endowed with the quotient topology. Observe that, under the above hypotheses on X, X/G is a compact Hausdorff space.

Theorem 3.31. *For any compact topological dynamical system (X, G, α) there is a natural monoid isomorphism*

$$\mathsf{Cu}^G(X) \cong \mathsf{Cu}(X/G).$$

Proof. Since $K(L^2(G)) \otimes K \cong K$, every equivariant representation $\pi : C(X) \to K_G$ is easily seen to decompose, up to unitary equivalence, into one of the form

$$\pi(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M_f^{x_k} \otimes e_{kk}, \qquad \forall f \in C(X),$$

where $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$ and $M_f^{x_k} \in L^\infty(G) \subset B(L^2(G))$ is the multiplication operator associated to the function

$$g \mapsto f(gx_k), \qquad \forall g \in G, k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence for every x_k, its full orbit Gx_k appears in this decomposition, and the multiplicity of each of the points in Gx_k is evidently constant. Therefore, the multiplicity functions can be assumed to be defined on the orbit space X/G, whence the presentation of Cuntz homology given in [4] applies. □

Corollary 3.32. *Let G be a compact group and let (X, G, α) and (Y, G, β) be topological dynamical systems. The equivariant Cuntz homologies $\mathsf{Cu}^G(X)$ and $\mathsf{Cu}^G(Y)$ are isomorphic if and only if the orbit spaces X/G and Y/G are homeomorphic.*

[4] that is, those functions $f \in \tilde{\mathbb{N}}_0^{X/G}$ for which the subset $\{x \in X/G \mid f(x) = 0\}$ of X/G is open.

4. The Equivariant Cuntz Semigroup

Analogously to the ordinary Cuntz semigroup $\mathsf{Cu}(A)$ of a C*-algebra A, which can be recovered from the bivariant Cuntz semigroup as $\mathsf{Cu}(A) \cong \mathsf{Cu}(\mathbb{C}, A)$, in Example 3.13 we have defined the *equivariant* Cuntz semigroup of the G-algebra (A, G, α) as

$$\mathsf{Cu}^G(A) := \mathsf{Cu}^G(\mathbb{C}, A).$$

We have also shown that this object has a natural identification with the set of Cuntz-equivalence classes of G-invariant positive elements from $A \otimes K_G$, and therefore it turns out to coincide with the equivariant Cuntz semigroup defined in [9]. There, a thorough investigation of this new functor is carried out, and the category Cu is enriched to reflect the equivariance of the theory developed. In particular, the G-invariant positive element picture is complemented by an equivariant generalisation of the module picture of [5].

In this section we recall some main definitions and results drawn from [9] and propose an open projection picture for the equivariant theory of the Cuntz semigroup. In order to do so we shall generalise many of the results of [14] to the equivariant setting first. Then the sought open projection picture will follow naturally. For concreteness, we now give the explicit definition of the equivariant Cuntz semigroup that we will employ throughout this section. We also recall that we are still under the assumption that every group with consider is compact and second countable.

Definition 4.1 (Equivariant Cuntz Semigroup)**.** Let (A, G, α) be a G-algebra. Its equivariant Cuntz semigroup is the set of classes

$$\mathsf{Cu}^G(A) := (A \otimes K_G)^G_+ / \sim_G,$$

where Cuntz comparison is now witnessed by G-invariant sequences, that is, if B is a G-algebra and $a, b \in B^G_+$, then

$$a \precsim_G b \qquad \text{if} \qquad \exists \{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset B^G \mid \|x_n b x_n^* - a\| \to 0,$$

where B^G denotes the fixed point algebra of B with respect to the action of G. The binary operation is still derived from direct sum of positive elements, that is

$$[a] + [b] := [a \oplus b],$$

for any $[a], [b] \in \mathsf{Cu}^G(A)$.

The approach of [9] is different, closer in spirit to the original definition (see Definition 2.9) of equivariant K-theory (cf. [9, Definition 2.4]). Finite dimensional representations of G are replaced by separable ones, i.e. those representations μ of G over a separable Hilbert space H_μ, and Cuntz classes of G-invariant positive elements from the C*-algebras $K(H_\mu \otimes A)$ are now considered. Cuntz comparison is then implemented by G-invariant elements from $K(H_\mu \otimes A, H_\nu \otimes A)$, where ν is any other separable representation of G (cf. [9, Definition 2.6]).

With arguments similar to those of §2.2 one can see that indeed these two different approaches lead to the same equivariant Cuntz semigroup for a continuous action of a compact group G over a C*-algebra A. The map Cu^G turns out to be a sequentially continuous functor from the category of C*-algebras to the category

Cu. In particular, this implies that, for every G-algebra (A, G, α), the equivariant Cuntz semigroup $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A)$ is an object in Cu and, if (B, G, β) is another G-algebra, then every equivariant $*$-homomorphism $\pi : A \to B$ induces a morphism $\mathsf{Cu}^G(\pi) : \mathsf{Cu}^G(A) \to \mathsf{Cu}^G(B)$ in the category Cu.

As with the ordinary Murray-von Neumann and Cuntz semigroups, there are similar connections between the equivariant versions of these objects. Let (A, G, α) be a G-algebra and $p \in (A \otimes K_G)^G$ a projection. The map that sends the class of p in $V^G(A)$ to the class of p in $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A)$ is a well defined semigroup homomorphism, as a consequence of the following result, which generalises [2, Lemma 2.18] to the equivariant setting. Recall from Section 2.2 that $\preceq_G$ denotes the equivariant Murray-von Neumann subequivalence relation between projections.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (A, G, α) be a G-algebra and let $p, q \in A^G$ be G-invariant projections. Then $p \preceq_G q$ if and only if $p \precsim_G q$.*

Proof. Thanks to [9, Proposition 2.5], the same proof of [2, Lemma 2.18] applies almost verbatim by taking all the elements to be G-invariant. □

The above result does not imply that Murray-von Neumann equivalence is equivalent to Cuntz equivalence on projections. However, as in the non-equivariant theory, there are special cases where the equivariant Murray-von Neumann semigroup embeds into the equivariant Cuntz semigroup. A stably finite G-algebra (A, G, α) is a G-algebra whose underlying C*-algebra A is stably finite. The following result is an equivariant generalisation of [2, Lemma 2.20].

Lemma 4.3. *Let (A, G, α) be a stably finite G-algebra. Then the natural map $V^G(A) \to \mathsf{Cu}^G(A)$ is injective.*

Proof. Since the algebras are stably finite and G is compact, the fixed point algebras and the crossed products are also stably finite. Hence, the same proof of [2, Lemma 2.20] applies almost verbatim by taking all the elements to be G-invariant. □

The *completed* representation semiring $\mathsf{Cu}(G)$, or simply the representation semiring, as defined in [9, Definition 3.1], is the semiring arising by considering separable representations G rather than just the finite dimensional ones. We choose to include the word *complete* here because $\mathsf{Cu}(G)$ can be regarded as a sup-completion of the semiring $V^G(\mathbb{C})$, however we sometimes refrain from specifying this explicitly. As in the case of K-theory, where $R(G) \cong K_0^G(\mathbb{C})$, it turns out that there is an ordered semigroup isomorphism between $\mathsf{Cu}(G)$ and $\mathsf{Cu}^G(\mathbb{C})$ [9, Theorem 3.4], which is then an object in the category Cu.

Let (A, G, α) be a G-algebra. Definition 3.10 and Theorem 3.11 of [9] show that the equivariant Cuntz semigroup $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A)$ has a natural $\mathsf{Cu}(G)$-semimodule structure and, as such, $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A)$ belongs to a subcategory of Cu, denoted Cu^G [9, Definition 3.7]. As we are not particularly interested in this category, we refer the reader to the already cited work of Gardella and Santiago for more details. Here we limit ourself to observing that, thanks to [9, Theorem 3.11], by equipping every equivariant Cuntz semigroup with this $\mathsf{Cu}(G)$-semimodule structure, Cu^G becomes a functor from the category of G-algebras to the category Cu^G.

4.1. **The Module Picture.** A module picture for the equivariant Cuntz semigroup is introduced in Section 4 of [9]. Part of the definitions we give here differ slightly

from those given in the cited work, but nonetheless lead to the same objects and results.

Definition 4.4 (Equivariant Hilbert C*-module)**.** Let (A, G, α) be a G-algebra. An equivariant Hilbert A-module is a pair (E, ρ) consisting of a Hilbert A-module E and a strongly continuous group homomorphism $\rho : G \to U(E)$ such that

i. $\rho_g(a\xi) = \alpha_g(a)\rho_g(\xi), \quad \forall g, \in G, a \in A, \xi \in E;$
ii. $(\rho_g(\xi), \rho_g(\eta)) = \alpha_g((\xi, \eta)), \quad \forall g \in G, \xi, \eta \in E.$

When the actions are understood, or there is no risk of confusion, we shall denote an equivariant Hilbert A-module (E, ρ) by its underlying Hilbert A-module E alone. Two equivariant Hilbert A-modules (E, ρ) and (F, σ) are said to be equivariantly isomorphic (in symbols $E \cong_G F$) if there exists a G-invariant unitary u, that is $u \circ \rho_g = \sigma_g \circ u$ for any $g \in G$, in $B(E, F)^G$. An equivariant A-module (Y, η) is said to be an *equivariant A-submodule* of (E, ρ) if Y is an A-submodule of E which is stable under the action ρ on E, i.e. $\rho_g(Y) \subset Y$ for any $g \in G$, and η coincides with the restriction of ρ onto Y, that is $\eta_g = \rho_g|_Y$ for any $g \in G$.

In order to define a Cuntz comparison of equivariant Hilbert C*-modules that resembles the ordinary definition of [5] we need a notion of *equivariant compact containment*. This is done in [9, Definition 4.10], of which we give a slightly different version, that already incorporates the comment that follows it in [9], namely that the contraction a below can always be chosen to be G-invariant by a simple averaging with respect to the normalised Haar measure on G.

Definition 4.5. Let (A, G, α) be a G-algebra, (E, ρ) an equivariant A-module and (F, σ) an equivariant A-submodule of E. We say that (F, σ) is equivariantly compactly contained in (E, ρ) (in symbols $F \Subset_G E$) if there exists a G-invariant positive contraction $a \in K(E)^G_+$ such that $a|_F = \mathrm{id}_F$.

With the above definition, together with the notion of isomorphism of equivariant Hilbert C*-modules we can now give a notion of Cuntz comparison for these objects.

Definition 4.6. Let (A, G, α) be a G-algebra and let E and F be equivariant Hilbert A-modules. We say that E is equivariantly Cuntz-subequivalent to F (in symbols $E \precsim_G F$) if

$$\forall E' \Subset_G E \qquad \exists F' \Subset_G F \qquad | \qquad E' \cong_G F'.$$

An equivariant Hilbert A-module E is said to be countably generated if its underlying Hilbert A-module is. Denoting the antisymmetrisation of $\precsim_G$ by $\sim_G$, and the set of G-equivariant countably generated Hilbert A-modules by $H^G(A)$, we can then define the module picture of the Cuntz semigroup of the G-algebra A as the set of $\sim_G$-equivalence classes of countably generated equivariant Hilbert A-modules, equipped with the binary operation arising from the direct sum of modules, viz.

$$\mathsf{Cu}^G_H(A) := H^G(A)/\sim_G .$$

A relation between the functors Cu^G and Cu^G_H can be established when G is second countable. In this case, it turns out that there is a natural isomorphism between $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A)$ and $\mathsf{Cu}^G_H(A)$ for any G-algebra A, that can be taken, not only in the category Cu, but even in the category Cu^G of partially ordered $\mathsf{Cu}(G)$-semimodules briefly mentioned above (cf. [9, Proposition 4.13]).

4.2. **The Open Projection Picture.** We are now ready to introduce an open projection picture for the equivariant Cuntz semigroup as defined in this section.

Definition 4.7. Let A be a G-algebra. A G-invariant open projection is an open projection in $(A^G)^{**}$.

The above definition entails that every G-invariant open projection is the strong limit of an increasing sequence of positive elements from the fixed point algebra.

Lemma 4.8. *If (E,ρ) is an equivariant Hilbert A-module of the form $\overline{aA}$ for some $a \in A_+$, then there exists $\bar{a} \in A^G$ such that $E \cong_G \overline{\bar{a}A}$.*

Proof. Clearly $a \in E$. Since the map $g \mapsto \rho_g(a)$ is uniformly continuous, for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a neighbourhood N of the identity e of the group G such that $\|\rho_g(a) - a\| < \epsilon$, for any $g \in N$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_G \rho_g(a)\mathrm{d}\mu(g) &\geq \int_N \rho_g(a)\mathrm{d}\mu(g)\\ &\geq \int_N (a-\epsilon)_+\mathrm{d}\mu(g)\\ &= \mu(N)(a-\epsilon)_+,\end{aligned}$$

with $\mu(N) > 0$ by the regularity of the Haar measure μ on G. By setting

$$\bar{a} := \int_G \rho_g(a)\mathrm{d}\mu(g)$$

one has $\bar{a} \in A_+$ and $p_{\bar{a}} \geq p_{(a-\epsilon)_+}$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, so that $E \cong \overline{\bar{a}A}$, and $\rho_g(\bar{a}) = \bar{a}$ for any $g \in G$. For the inner product one has

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho_g(\bar{a}b), \rho_g(\bar{a}c)) &= \rho_g(\bar{a}b)^*\rho_g(\bar{a}c)\\ &= \alpha_g(b)^*\bar{a}^2\alpha_g(c)\\ &= \alpha_g(b^*\bar{a}^2c), \qquad \forall g \in G\end{aligned}$$

and by taking approximate units for b and c one then finds $\bar{a}^2 = \alpha_g(\bar{a}^2)$ for any $g \in G$, whence $\bar{a} \in A^G$. □

Let $a \in A^G$ be a G-invariant positive element and, like in the non-equivariant case, denote by E_a the equivariant Hilbert A-module generated by $(\overline{aA}, \rho)$, where the strongly continuous action ρ is given by

$$\rho_g(ab) := a\alpha_g(b)$$

for any $g \in G$. We give the following equivariant version of Blackadar equivalence. The ordinary one is recovered by letting G act trivially on A. Semantically this amounts to dropping the word "equivariant" and the superscripts G from the following definition. Recall from page 9 that A_a denotes the hereditary C*-subalgebra of A generated by the positive element $a \in A$.

Definition 4.9. Let A be a G-algebra. Two positive elements $a, b \in A^G$ are said to be *equivariantly Blackadar equivalent*, in symbols $a \sim_{G,s} b$, if there exists $x \in A^G$ such that $A_a = A_{x^*x}$ and $A_b = A_{xx^*}$.

For open projections $p, q \in (A^G)^{**}$ we give the following equivariant version of Peligrad-Zsidó equivalence. For the ordinary definition of this relation the same comment as above applies.

Definition 4.10. Let A be a G-algebra. Two G-invariant open projections $p, q \in (A^G)^{**}$ are said to be *equivariantly PZ equivalent*, in symbols $p \sim_{G,\mathrm{PZ}} q$, if they are PZ equivalent with respect to A^G, i.e. if there exists a partial isometry $v \in (A^G)^{**}$ such that

$$p = v^*v, \qquad q = vv^*,$$

and

$$v(A^G)_p \subset A^G, \qquad v^*(A^G)_q \subset A^G.$$

A direct application of Kaplanski's density theorem shows that one might as well use the notation A^G_p to denote either $(A^G)_p$ or $(A_p)^G$, since both these hereditary subalgebras coincide.

Proposition 4.11. *Let A be a G-algebra and let p be a G-invariant open projection. Then $(A^G)_p = (A_p)^G$.*

Proof. The inclusion $(A^G)_p \subset (A_p)^G$ is obvious. By Kaplanski's density theorem, every element $a \in (A_p)^G$ is a strong limit of a sequence of elements $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset (A_p)_{\|a\|}$. For any vectors $\xi, \eta \in pH_u$, where H_u denotes the universal Hilbert space of A, one has the estimate

$$|(\xi, \alpha_g(a_n)\eta)| \leq \|\xi\| \, \|\eta\| \, \|a\| \,, \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G.$$

Therefore, by Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem one can interchange the order of limit and integral in

$$a = \int_G \mathrm{SOT} \lim_{n\to\infty} p\alpha_g(a_n)p \, \mathrm{d}\mu(g)$$

to get

$$a = \mathrm{SOT} \lim_{n\to\infty} p \left(\int_G \alpha_g(a_n) \mathrm{d}\mu(g) \right) p$$

with the average $\int_G \alpha_g(a_n)\mathrm{d}\mu(g)$ belonging to A^G for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $a \in (A^G)_p$. □

The result that follows can be regarded as an equivariant extension of Proposition 4.3 of [14].

Proposition 4.12. *Let A be a G-algebra and let a and b be G-invariant positive elements of A. The following are equivalent:*

i. $a \sim_{G,s} b$;
ii. E_a *and* E_b *are equivariantly isomorphic;*
iii. $\exists x \in A^G$ *such that* $E_a = E_{x^*x}$ *and* $E_b = E_{xx^*}$;
iv. $p_a \sim_{G,PZ} p_b$.

Proof. *i.* $\Rightarrow$ *iv.* As a direct consequence of [15, Theorem 1.4] one has that $p_{x^*x} \sim_{G,PZ} p_{xx^*}$, since this is true for $p_{x^*x} \sim_{PZ} p_{xx^*}$ in A^G. Furthermore, $A_a = A_b$, with $a, b \in A^G$, implies that $p_a = p_b$, with p_a and p_b in $(A^G)^{**}$.

iv. $\Rightarrow$ *i.* By the arguments of [14, Proposition 4.3], one sees that, if v denotes the partial isometry that witnesses the PZ equivalence of p_a and p_b, then $vav^* \in A^G$ has the same support projection of b, i.e. p_b, in A^G.

ii. $\Rightarrow$ *iii.* Let u be the map that implements the equivariant isomorphism and set $x := ua$. Then $E_{xx^*} = \overline{xA} = \overline{uaA} = E_b$ and

$$\sigma_g(x) = (\sigma_g \circ u \circ \rho_g^{-1} \circ \rho_g)(a) = u\rho_g(a) = ua = x, \qquad \forall g \in G,$$

therefore $x \in A^G$. Furthermore, $x^*x = a^2$ since u is isometric, so that $E_a = E_{x^*x}$.

iii. $\Rightarrow$ *ii.* Let $x = v|x|$ be the polar decomposition of x, with $v \in (A^G)^{**}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v\rho_g(|x|b) &= v|x|\alpha_g(b) \\ &= |x^*|v\alpha_g(b) \\ &= \sigma_g(|x^*|v)\alpha_g(xb) \\ &= \sigma_g(|x^*|vb) \\ &= \sigma_g(v|x|b) \end{aligned}$$

for any $b \in A$, whence $v \in B(E_{x^*x}, E_{xx^*})^G$ is the sought equivariant isomorphism.

i. $\Leftrightarrow$ *iii.* This is a restatement of the definitions involved and based on the one-to-one correspondence between hereditary subalgebras and right ideals. □

The following is an equivariant version of the compact containment relation for open projections.

Definition 4.13. Let A be a G-algebra, and let $p, q \in (A^G)^{**}$ be G-invariant open projections. We say that q is compactly contained in p (in symbols $q \Subset_G p$) if there exists $e \in A_p^G$ such that $\bar{q}e = \bar{q}$, where $\bar{q}$ denotes the closure of q.

With both Definition 4.10 and Definition 4.13 one can define the Cuntz comparison of two G-invariant open projections in the usual way of [5] and [14].

Definition 4.14. Let (A, G, α) be a G-algebra and let p, q be G-invariant open projections from $(A^G)^{**}$. We shall say that p is equivariantly Cuntz subequivalent to q ($p \precsim_G q$ in symbols) if

$$\forall p' \Subset_G p \qquad \exists q' \Subset_G q \qquad | \qquad p' \sim_{G,\mathrm{PZ}} q'.$$

Hence, two G-invariant open projections p and q are said to be Cuntz equivalent if both $p \precsim_G q$ and $q \precsim_G p$ hold.

The proposition below can be regarded as an equivariant extension of part of the results established in [14, Proposition 4.10].

Proposition 4.15. *Let A be a G-algebra and let a, b be G-invariant positive elements. Then $E_a \Subset_G E_b$ if and only if $p_a \Subset_G p_b$.*

Proof. Identify $K(E_b)$ with A_b and observe that the rank-1 operator $\theta_{bd,bc}$ is sent to the element bdc^*b for any $c, d \in A$. Hence, the action Ad_{ρ_g} on $K(E_b)$ coincides with the action of α_g on A_b. Therefore, if $e \in K(E_b)^G$ is such that $e|_{E_a} = \mathrm{id}_{E_a}$, then $e \in A_b^G$ is such that $\overline{p_a}e = \overline{p_a}$. □

Propositions 4.12 and 4.15 can now be used to *translate* the module picture of the previous section into the open projection picture for the equivariant Cuntz semigroup.

Theorem 4.16. *Let G be a second countable compact group. Then* $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A) \cong P_o(((A \otimes K_G)^G)^{**})/\sim_G$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.12, equivariant isomorphism of modules coincides with equivariant PZ equivalence of the corresponding G-invariant open projections, while by Proposition 4.15 compact containment of equivariant modules corresponds to compact containment of G-invariant open projections. Hence, it is enough to show that there exists a bijection between

$$E_a^{\Subset_G} := \{X \mid X \Subset_G E_a\}$$

and

$$p_a^{\Subset_G} := \{p \mid p \Subset_G p_a\}$$

for any positive element $a \in (A \otimes K_G)^G$. To this end, suppose that $X \Subset_G E_a$. Since $A \otimes K_G$ is a stable C*-algebra, there exists $a' \in (A \otimes K_G)_+$ such that $X = \overline{a'(A \otimes K_G)}$, and by Lemma 4.8 one can assume that a' is G-invariant. By Proposition 4.15, $E_{a'} \Subset_G E_a$ is equivalent to $p_{a'} \Subset_G p_a$, so that one can associate the G-invariant projection $p_{a'}$ to the equivariant module X. To see that this correspondence is well-defined and independent from the choice of a', observe that, if $a'' \in A \otimes K_G$ is another G-invariant positive element such that $X = \overline{a''(A \otimes K_G)}$, then the hereditary subalgebra generated by a'' is the same as that generated by a' and therefore $p_{a''} = p_{a'}$. Conversely, for every $p \Subset_G p_a$ there exists $a' \in (A \otimes K_G)^G$ such that $p = p_{a'}$, and by Proposition 4.15 again this implies that $E_{a'} \Subset_G E_a$. Any other choice of a positive element that gives the same open projection leads to the same hereditary subalgabra and hence to the same module, whence it follows that the correspondence $p \mapsto E_{a'}$ is well-defined and independent from the choice of a'. It is now immediate to verify that this correspondence is the inverse of the one above and therefore it provides a bijection between $p_a^{\Subset_G}$ and $E_a^{\Subset_G}$. □

5. Classification of Actions

In this section we show how to use the equivariant extension of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup defined in this paper in order to retrieve some classification results for certain actions by compact groups over certain C*-algebras. In particular we show how to recover the classical result of Handelman and Rossmann [10], and the more recent one of Gardella and Santiago [9].

With the aim of capturing the right notion of equivalence, which incorporates the scale conditions of part (2) of [9, Theorem 8.4], we give the following definition as the equivariant analogue of the *strictly invertible* elements defined in [4].

Definition 5.1. Let A and B be G-algebras. An element $\Phi \in \mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B)$ is said to be *strictly invertible* if there exist equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps $\phi : A \to B$ and $\psi : B \to A$ such that

i. $[\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G}] = \Phi$;
ii. $\psi \circ \phi \sim_G \mathrm{id}_A$ and $\phi \circ \psi \sim_G \mathrm{id}_B$.

As in the case of the non-equivariant theory of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup of [4], one can regard strictly invertible elements as invertible elements of $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B)$, with the obvious meaning of invertibility, that come from the *scale* of $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B)$, where the latter is defined as follows.

Definition 5.2. Let A and B be G-algebras. The scale of $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B)$ is the set of classes

$$\Sigma(\mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B)) := \{[\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G}] \in \mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B) \mid \phi : A \to B \text{ equiv. c.p.c. order zero}\}.$$

An isomorphism criterion for crossed products follows from the following result about the map j_G of Section 3.4.

Proposition 5.3. *Let A and B be G-algebras. If $\Phi \in \mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B)$ is a strictly invertible element then so is $j_G(\Phi) \in \mathsf{Cu}(A \rtimes G, B \rtimes G)$, in the sense of* [4].

Proof. Observe that $(\phi\otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G})_{\rtimes}$ can be identified with $\phi_{\rtimes}\otimes \mathrm{id}_K$ for any equivariant c.p.c. order zero map $\phi : A \to B$. Since Φ is strictly invertible in $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)$, there are equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps $\phi : A \to B$ and $\psi : B \to A$ such that $[\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G}] = \Phi$ and $\psi\circ\psi \sim_G \mathrm{id}_A$, $\phi\circ\psi \sim_G \mathrm{id}_B$. From Theorem 3.27 it follows that

$$j_G(\Phi) = [(\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G})_{\rtimes}]$$

whereas from Proposition 3.26 one has that

$$\psi_{\rtimes} \circ \phi_{\rtimes} \sim \mathrm{id}_{A\rtimes G}$$

and

$$\phi_{\rtimes} \circ \psi_{\rtimes} \sim \mathrm{id}_{B\rtimes G}\,.$$

Hence $j_G(\Phi) \in \mathsf{Cu}(A \rtimes G, B \rtimes G)$ is a strictly invertible element. □

As an immediate consequence of the above proposition we then have the following isomorphism criterion for crossed products by finite groups.

Theorem 5.4. *Let A and B be unital and stably finite G-algebras, G finite. If there is a strictly invertible element in $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)$ then $A\rtimes G$ and $B\rtimes G$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. If $\Phi \in \mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)$ is a strictly invertible element, then $j_G(\Phi)$ is strictly invertible in $\mathsf{Cu}(A \rtimes G, B \rtimes G)$. Furthermore, $A \rtimes G$ and $B \rtimes G$ are unital and stably finite, and therefore the classification theorem of [4] applies. □

For any pair of G-algebras A and B, it is easy to see that there is a well-defined map σ_G from the scale of $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)$ to the scale of $\mathsf{Cu}(A^G, B^G)$, which is given by

$$\sigma_G([\phi \otimes \mathrm{id}_{K_G}]) := [\phi|_{A^G} \otimes \mathrm{id}_K].$$

In particular, it follows that any strictly invertible element of $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)$ yields a strictly invertible element of $\mathsf{Cu}(A^G, B^G)$. Hence

Theorem 5.5. *Let A and B be unital and stably finite G-algebras. If there is a strictly invertible element in $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)$, then the fixed point algebras A^G and B^G are isomorphic.*

Proof. By the above considerations, if $\Phi \in \mathsf{Cu}^G(A,B)$ is strictly invertible, then so is $\sigma_G(\Phi) \in \mathsf{Cu}(A^G, B^G)$. Since A and B are unital, A^G and B^G are unital and stably finite, and the classification theorem of [4] applies. □

As in the standard theory of the bivariant Cuntz semigroup, we have the following result for the equivariant setting.

Proposition 5.6. *Let A, B be unital and stably finite G-algebras. If $\phi : A \to B$ and $\psi : B \to A$ are two equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps such that $\psi\circ\phi \sim_G \mathrm{id}_A$ and $\phi\circ\psi \sim_G \mathrm{id}_B$ then there are equivariant unital $*$-homomorphisms $\pi_\phi : A \to B$ and $\pi_\psi : B \to A$ such that*

i. $[\pi_\phi] = [\phi]$ *and* $[\pi_\psi] = [\psi]$*;*
ii. $\pi_\psi \circ \pi_\phi \sim_G \mathrm{id}_A$ *and* $\pi_\phi \circ \pi_\psi \sim_G \mathrm{id}_B$*.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 we can find G-invariant positive elements h_ϕ, h_ψ and equivariant $*$-homomorphisms π_ϕ, π_ψ such that $\phi = h_\phi\pi_\phi$ and $\psi = h_\psi\pi_\psi$. Evaluating on the unit of A and B respectively we get

$$h_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}}\pi_\psi(h_\phi)h_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim_G 1_A \qquad \text{and} \qquad h_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}}\pi_\phi(h_\psi)h_\phi^{\frac{1}{2}} \sim_G 1_B,$$

where by $\sim_G$ we mean that the sequences that witnesses the Cuntz equivalence are taken from the fixed point algebras. Hence, there exists $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset A^G$ such that $x_n h_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi_\psi(h_\phi) h_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n^*$ converges to 1_A, and therefore $x_n h_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi_\psi(h_\phi) h_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n^*$ is eventually invertible. From this we conclude that there exists $c \in A$ such that

$$x_n h_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi_\psi(h_\phi) h_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n^* c = 1_A$$

for sufficiently large values of n, which shows that x_n is right invertible. Since A is stably finite, it follows that the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is eventually invertible, and therefore

$$h_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}} \pi_\psi(h_\phi) h_\psi^{\frac{1}{2}} x_n^* c x_n = 1_A,$$

which shows that h_ψ is also right invertible, hence invertible. Similarly, one also deduces the invertibility of h_ϕ, and so π_ϕ and π_ψ satisfy (i) and (ii). Now set $p = \pi_\phi(1_A)$ and $q = \pi_\psi(1_B)$. Since $\pi_\psi(p) \sim_G 1_A$ and $\pi_\phi(q) \sim_G 1_B$, stably finiteness of A and B implies $\pi_\phi(q) = 1_B$ and $\pi_\psi(p) = 1_A$. Now $1_A - \pi_\psi(q)$ is a positive element in A^G, but

$$\pi_\phi(1_A - \pi_\psi(q)) = p - 1_B \leq 0,$$

which is possible only if $p = 1_B$. Similarly, one finds that $q = 1_A$, and therefore π_ϕ and π_ψ are unital. Finally, observe that the invertibility of h_ϕ and h_ψ implies that the ranges of π_ϕ and π_ψ are B and A respectively. □

Theorem 5.7. *Let A and B be unital and stably finite G-algebras. Every strictly invertible element $\Phi \in \mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B)$ induces a $\mathsf{Cu}(G)$-semimodule isomorphism $\rho : \mathsf{Cu}^G(A) \to \mathsf{Cu}^G(B)$ such that $\rho([1_A]) = [1_B]$ and $\rho([1_A \otimes e_G]) = [1_B \otimes e_G]$.*

Proof. Thanks to Proposition 5.6, if $\Phi \in \mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B)$ is a strictly invertible element, there are equivariant c.p.c. order zero maps $\phi : A \to B$ and $\psi : B \to A$ such that $\psi \circ \phi \sim_G \mathrm{id}_A$ and $\phi \circ \psi \sim_G \mathrm{id}_B$, which can then be replaced by their support $*$-homomorphisms π_ϕ and π_ψ respectively. Then $\rho := \mathsf{Cu}^G(\pi_\phi)$ is a $\mathsf{Cu}(G)$-semimodule isomorphism that satisfies $\rho([1_A]) = [1_B]$ and clearly sends the constant function $1_A(g) = 1_A$ to $1_B(g) = 1_B$, whence $\rho([1_A \otimes e_G]) = [1_B \otimes e_G]$. □

Definition 5.8. Let (A, G, α) be a G-algebra. The action α on A is said to be *representable* if there exists a group homomorphism $u : G \to U(\mathcal{M}(A))$ such that $\alpha_g = \mathrm{Ad}(u_g)$ for any $g \in G$. The action α is said to be *locally representable* if there exists an increasing sequence $\{A_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of α-invariant C*-subalgebras of A such that $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n$ is dense in A and $\alpha|_{A_n}$ is representable for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In the following corollary we borrow the definition of the class of algebras $\mathbf{R}$ and that of locally representable actions from [9] (see the discussion that precedes [9, Theorem 8.4]).

Corollary 5.9. *Let G be a finite Abelian group and let (A, G, α) and (B, G, β) be unital G-algebras in the class $\mathbf{R}$ with locally representable actions α and β along given inductive sequences for A and B respectively, that lie in the class $\mathbf{R}$. Then (A, G, α) and (B, G, β) are equivariantly isomorphic if and only if there is a strictly invertible element in $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B)$.*

Proof. It follows directly from the above theorem, together with the classification results of [9]. □

Locally representable actions for the larger class of compact groups have been considered by Handelman and Rossmann. Their definition of local representability is restricted to AF algebras, and it is assumed that an action α over an AF algebra A is locally representable if it is representable along a given inductive sequence of *finite dimensional* C*-algebras whose limit is A. We shall say that a G-algebra (A, G, α) is AF if the underlying C*-algebra A is. Their main classification result [10, Theorem III.1], for the purposes of this paper, can be stated in the following way.

Theorem 5.10 (Handelman-Rossmann)**.** *Let G be a compact group and let (A, G, α) and (B, G, β) be unital AF G-algebras, with α and β locally representable actions along given inductive sequences for A and B respectively. Then A and B are equivariantly isomorphic if and only if there exists a $V^G(\mathbb{C})$-semimodule isomorphism $\rho : V^G(A) \to V^G(B)$ such that $\rho([1_A]) = [1_B]$.*

This classification result can be recovered from the equivariant bivariant Cuntz semigroup as a corollary to Theorem 5.7, as it is now shown.

Corollary 5.11. *Let G be a compact group and let (A, G, α) and (B, G, β) be unital AF G-algebras, with α and β locally representable actions along given inductive sequences for A and B respectively. Then A and B are equivariantly isomorphic if and only if there exists a strictly invertible element in $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A, B)$.*

Proof. Recall that, by Lemma 4.3, $V^G(A)$ injects in $\mathsf{Cu}^G(A)$ for any stably finite C*-algebra A. By Theorem 5.7, every strictly invertible element is represented by an equivariant $*$-homomorphism, which maps G-invariant projections to G-invariant projections, and therefore induces a $V^G(\mathbb{C})$-semimodule homomorphism between $V^G(A)$ and $V^G(B)$ that satisfies all the hypotheses of Theorem 5.10. □

References

[1] C. A. Akemann. The general Stone-Weierstrass problem. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 4(2):277–294, 1969.

[2] P. Ara, F. Perera, and A. S. Toms. K-theory for operator algebras. Classification of C^*-algebras. In P. Ara, F. Lledó, and F. Perera, editors, *Aspects of Operator Algebras and Applications*, volume 534 of *Contemp. Math.* AMS, 2011.

[3] B. Blackadar. *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*. Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Publications. Cambridge University Press, 1998.

[4] J. Bosa, G. N. Tornetta, and J. Zacharias. A Bivariant Theory for the Cuntz Semigroup. *submitted to Adv. Math.*, arXiv:1602.02043.

[5] K. T. Coward, G. A. Elliott, and C. Ivanescu. The Cuntz semigroup as an invariant for C*-algebras. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 623:161–193, 2008.

[6] J. Cuntz. Dimension Functions on Simple C*-Algebras. *Math. Ann.*, 233:145–154, 1978.

[7] E. Gardella. Regularity properties and Rokhlin dimension for compact group actions. 2014, arXiv:1407.5485.

[8] E. Gardella. Rokhlin dimension for compact group actions. 2014, arXiv:1407.1277.

[9] E. Gardella and L. Santiago. The equivariant Cuntz semigroup. 2015, arXiv:1506.07572.

[10] D. Handelman and W. Rossmann. Actions of compact groups on AF C*-algebras. *Illinois J. Math.*, 29(1):51–95, 03 1985.

[11] K. K. Jensen and K. Thomsen. *Elements of KK-Theory*. Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser Boston, 2012.

[12] E. C. Lance. *Hilbert C*-Modules: A Toolkit for Operator Algebraists*. Lecture note series: London Mathematical Society. Cambridge University Press, 1995.

[13] V. M. Manuĭlov and E. V. Troitskiĭ. *Hilbert C*-modules*. Translations of mathematical monographs. American Mathematical Soc., 2005.

[14] E. Ortega, M. Rørdam, and H. Thiel. The Cuntz semigroup and comparison of open projections . *J. Funct. Anal.* , 260(12):3474–3493, 2011.

[15] C. Peligrad and L. Zsidó. Open projection of C^*-algebras comparison and regularity. In A. Gheondea, R. N. Gologan, and D. Timotin, editors, *Operator theoretical methods. Proceedings of the 17th international conference on operator theory (Timişoara, Romania, June 23-26, 1998)*, pages 285–300, Bucharest, 2000. The Theta Foundation.
[16] N. C. Phillips. *Equivariant K-theory for proper actions*. Pitman research notes in mathematics series. Longman Scientific & Technical, 1989.
[17] M. Rørdam. A simple C*-algebra with a finite and an infinite projection. *Acta Math.*, 191(1):109–142, 2003.
[18] A. Toms. On the classification problem for nuclear C*-algebras. *Ann. of Math.*, 167(2):1059–1074, 2008.
[19] G. N. Tornetta. Cuntz Homology and the Category Cu. *in preparation*, 2016.
[20] W. Winter. Covering dimension for nuclear C*-algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 199(2):535–556, 2003.
[21] W. Winter and J. Zacharias. Completely positive maps of order zero. *Münster J. Math.*, 2:311–324, 2009.
[22] M. Wolff. Disjointness preserving operators on C*-algebras. *Arch. Math.*, 62(3):248–253, 1994.

School of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Glasgow, 15 University Gardens, G12 8QW, Glasgow, UK
E-mail address: g.tornetta.1@research.gla.ac.uk